arXiv:dg-ga/9505004v1 17 May 1995

Geometry of Lagrangian First-order Classical Field Theories

ARTURO ECHEVERRÍA-ENRÍQUEZ,
MIGUEL C. MUÑOZ-LECANDA,[*]
NARCISO ROMÁN-ROY[†]
Departamento de Matemática Aplicada y Telemática
Universidad Politécnica de Cataluña
Campus Nord, Módulo C-3
C/ Gran Capitán s.n.
E-08071 BARCELONA
SPAIN

February 7, 2008

DMAT 04-0194

Abstract

We construct a lagrangian geometric formulation for first-order field theories using the canonical structures of first-order jet bundles, which are taken as the phase spaces of the systems in consideration. First of all, we construct all the geometric structures associated with a first-order jet bundle and, using them, we develop the lagrangian formalism, defining the canonical forms associated with a lagrangian density and the density of lagrangian energy, obtaining the *Euler-Lagrange equations* in two equivalent ways: as the result of a variational problem and developing the *jet field formalism* (which is a formulation more similar to the case of mechanical systems). A statement and proof of Noether's theorem is also given, using the latter formalism.

Finally, some classical examples are briefly studied.

Key words: *Jet bundle, first order field theory, lagrangian formalism.*

AMS s. c. (1980): 53C80, 58A20. PACS: 0240, 0350

---

[*] **e**-*mail*: MATMCML@MAT.UPC.ES
[†] **e**-*mail*: MATNRR@MAT.UPC.ES

Contents

1 Introduction 3

2 Elements of differential geometry for first-order lagrangian field theories 5

2.1 Geometrical structures of first-order jet bundles 5

2.1.1 First-order jet bundles 5

2.1.2 Vertical differential. Canonical form 7

2.1.3 Contact module (Cartan distribution) 8

2.1.4 Vertical endomorphisms 10

2.2 Canonical prolongations 11

2.2.1 Prolongation of sections and diffeomorphisms 11

2.2.2 Relation with the affine structure 12

2.2.3 Relation with the geometric elements 13

2.2.4 Prolongation of projectable vector fields 16

2.2.5 Generalization to non-projectable vector fields 18

3 Lagrangian formalism of first-order field theories 18

3.1 Lagrangian systems. Variational problems and critical sections 18

3.1.1 Lagrangian densities 18

3.1.2 Lagrangian canonical forms. Properties 20

3.1.3 Density of lagrangian energy 21

3.1.4 Variational problem associated with a lagrangian density 24

3.1.5 Characterization of critical sections 24

3.2 Lagrangian formalism with jet fields 28

3.2.1 1-jet fields in the bundle J^1J^1E 28

3.2.2 The S.O.P.D.E. condition 29

3.2.3 Action of jet fields on forms 30

3.2.4 Lagrangian and Energy functions. Euler-Lagrange equations 32

4 Lagrangian symmetries and Noether's theorem 33

4.1 Symmetries. Noether's theorem 33

4.1.1 Symmetries of lagrangian systems 33

4.1.2 Infinitesimal symmetries 35

4.1.3 Noether's theorem 36

4.1.4 Noether's theorem for jet fields 37

5 Examples 38

5.1 Electromagnetic field (with fixed background) 38

5.2 Bosonic string 39

5.3 WZWN model 40

6 Conclusions and outlook 41

A Connections and jet fields in a first-order jet bundle 41

A.1 Basic definition and properties 42

A.2 Other relevant features 45

A.3 Integrability of jet fields 45

B Glossary of notation 47

1 Introduction

Nowadays, it is well known that *jet bundles* are the appropriate domain for the description of classical field theory, both in lagrangian and hamiltonian formalisms. In recent years much work has been done in that domain with the aim of establishing the suitable geometrical structures for field theories in general [21], [17], [14], [2], [15], [23], [20], [30], [5], [31], [18], [7], [10], [28] and for *gauge* and *Yang-Mills* field theories in particular [9], [3], [6], [8], [13], [4], [16], [25]. Nevertheless, there is no definitive consensus about which are the most relevant geometrical structures when dealing with first-order lagrangian field theory and the role they play [31], [21], [14], [15], [30], [5]. In addition, many authors have emphasized the importance of the hamiltonian formulation of field theories in the construction of the lagrangian formalism [20], [18], [7], [10], [29], [27] (in the same way that certain geometric formulations of mechanics are made [1]). However, even in these cases, an external element to the problem, such as a bundle connection, is needed in order to develop the hamiltonian field equations [7], [10].

Our aim in this work is to construct a lagrangian geometric formulation for first-order field theories using only the canonical structures of the jet bundle J^1E representing the system, in a way analogous to *Klein's formalism* for classical mechanics [22]. A forthcoming paper will be devoted to a hamiltonian formalism for these theories [12].

A brief description of the content of the work now follows:

- The motivation of section 2 is the construction of the relevant geometric structures of first-order jet bundles. Following [14], the *vertical differential* will be used as the fundamental tool in the build up of the other elements: the *canonical form*, the *Cartan distribution*, and the *vertical endomorphisms*. We also study the *canonical prolongations* of sections, diffeomorphisms and vector fields and the invariance of the geometric elements by these prolongations. Much of this section is well known in the usual literature, but it is included here in order to make this work more self-contained. However, a new contribution is the construction of the vertical endomorphisms (which play a most relevant role in the theory) using the affine structure of the first-order jet bundle and the canonical form. Another contribution is the proof of the invariance of the geometric elements by the prolongation of diffeomorphisms and vector fields above mentioned.

- In the first part of section 3 we develop the lagrangian formalism. Using one of the vertical endomorphisms we construct intrinsically the *canonical forms* associated with the *lagrangian density*: the *Poincaré-Cartan forms*. A deep study is made about the geometrical aspects of the variational problem posed by a lagrangian density and we obtain the *Euler-Lagrange equations*, both their local and global expressions, for sections of the configuration bundle.

  A particularly interesting point is the introduction of the notion of the *energy density* associated with a lagrangian density and a connection. We give an intrinsic definition of the energy density which, as expected, coincides with the usual one when the configuration bundle is a direct product and then a natural flat connection exists. Furthermore, for a given lagrangian density we give the structure of the set of all the possible energy densities associated with it. We also establish the formula for the linear variation of the energy density with respect to change on the connection. We would like to point out that the need for a connection for the definition of an energy density indicates a close relationship, not developed in this work, between the energy and the hamiltonian associated with the lagrangian and the connection (see [12]).

  One of the main goals in this section is to establish the *Euler-Lagrange equations* for *jet fields* In order to sattisfy this aim, the problem of *integrability* and the *second order condition* for jet fields are briefly treated. Moreover, the solutions of such equations (if they exist) are integrable second-order jet fields whose integral manifolds are the critical sections of the variational problem associated with the lagrangian. In this sense, jet fields and their integral manifolds play the same role as vector fields and their integral curves in mechanics. So, in this language, field equations have a structural similarity with the usual ones in mechanics. We believe this formulation will, in due course, provide us with a good opportunity to tackle the study of *singular lagrangian field theories*.

- A subject of great interest in field theory is the study of *symmetries*. Another section of the work is devoted to studying the *Noether symmetries* of a lagrangian problem by means of the jet field formalism just developed. We state and prove the *Noether's theorem* in this formalism.

- A further section contains some typical examples, such as: the *electromagnetic field*, the *bosonic string* and the *West-Zumino-Witten-Novikov model*. For all of these we specify which is the corresponding first-order jet bundle and its geometrical elements, as well as the lagrangian density of the problem.

- The last section is devoted to discussion and outlook.
- The first appendix covers the main features on the theory of connections on jet bundles and jet fields which are used in some parts of the work. Finally, since the notation on jet bundles is not unified, a glosary of notation is also included at the end of the paper.

All the manifolds are real, second countable and C^∞. The maps are assumed to be C^∞. Sum over repeated indices is understood.

2 Elements of differential geometry for first-order lagrangian field theories

2.1 Geometrical structures of first-order jet bundles

In this first paragraph we introduce the basic definitions and properties concerning to first-order jet bundles, as well as the canonical structures defined therein. For more details regarding some of them see [31].

2.1.1 First-order jet bundles

Let M be an orientable manifold and $\pi\colon E \longrightarrow M$ a differentiable fiber bundle with typical fibre F. We denote by $\Gamma(M,E)$ or $\Gamma(\pi)$ the set of global sections of π. In the same way, if $U \subset M$ is an open set, let $\Gamma_U(\pi)$ be the set of local sections of π defined on U. Let $\dim M = n+1$, $\dim F = N$.

Remarks:

- The dimension of M is taken to be $n+1$ because, in many cases, this manifold is space-time. The bundle $\pi\colon E \longrightarrow M$ is called the *covariant configuration bundle*. The *physical fields* are the sections of this bundle.
- The orientability of M is not used in this first part of the work. It is relevant when dealing with variational problems.

We denote by J^1E the *bundle of* 1*-jets* of sections of π, or 1*-jet bundle*, which is endowed with the natural projection $\pi^1\colon J^1E \longrightarrow E$. For every $y \in E$, the fibers of J^1E are denoted J^1_yE and their elements by $\bar{y}$. If $\phi\colon U \to E$ is a representative of $\bar{y} \in J^1_yE$, we write $\phi \in \bar{y}$ or $\bar{y} = \mathrm{T}_{\pi(y)}\phi$.

In addition, the map $\bar{\pi}^1 = \pi \circ \pi^1\colon J^1E \longrightarrow M$ defines another structure of differentiable bundle. We denote by $\mathrm{V}(\pi)$ the vertical bundle associated with π, that is $\mathrm{V}(\pi) = \mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{T}\pi$, and by $\mathrm{V}(\pi^1)$ the vertical bundle associated with π^1, that is $\mathrm{V}(\pi^1) = \mathrm{Ker}\,\mathrm{T}\pi^1$. $\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{V}(\pi)}(E)$ and $\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{V}(\pi^1)}(J^1E)$ will denote the corresponding sections or vertical vector fields. Then, it can be proved (see [26]) that $\pi^1\colon J^1E \longrightarrow E$ is an affine bundle modelled on the vector bundle $\mathbf{E} = \pi^*\mathrm{T}^*M \otimes_E \mathrm{V}(\pi)$ [1]. Therefore, the rank of $\pi^1\colon J^1E \longrightarrow E$ is $(n+1)N$.

---

[1] This notation denotes the tensor product of two vector bundles over E.

Sections of π can be lifted to J^1E in the following way: let $\phi\colon U\subset M\to E$ be a local section of π. For every $x\in U$, the section ϕ defines an element of J^1E: the equivalence class of ϕ in x, which is denoted $(j^1\phi)(x)$. Therefore we can define a local section of $\bar\pi^1$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} j^1\phi & : & U & \longrightarrow & J^1E \\ & & x & \longmapsto & (j^1\phi)(x) \end{array}$$

and so we have defined a map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_U(M,E) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_U(M,J^1E) \\ \phi & \longmapsto & j^1(\phi)\equiv j^1\phi \end{array}$$

$j^1\phi$ is called the canonical lifting or the *canonical prolongation* of ϕ to J^1E. A section of $\bar\pi^1$ which is the canonical extension of a section of π is called a *holonomic section.*

Let x^μ, $\mu=1,\dots,n,0$, be a local system in M and y^A, $A=1,\dots,N$ a local system in the fibers; that is, $\{x^\mu,y^A\}$ is a coordinate system adapted to the bundle. In these coordinates, a local section $\phi\colon U\to E$ is writen as $\phi(x)=(x^\mu,\phi^A(x))$, that is, $\phi(x)$ is given by functions $y^A=\phi^A(x)$. These local systems x^μ, y^A allows us to construct a local system (x^μ,y^A,v^A_μ) in J^1E, where v^A_μ are defined as follows: if $\bar y\in J^1E$, with $\pi^1(\bar y)=y$ and $\pi(y)=x$, let $\phi\colon U\to E$, $y^A=\phi^A$, be a representative of $\bar y$, then

$$v^A_\mu(\bar y)=\left(\frac{\partial\phi^A}{\partial x^\mu}\right)_x$$

These systems are called *natural local systems* in J^1E. In one of them we have

$$j^1\phi(x)=\left(x^\mu(x),\phi^A(x),\frac{\partial\phi^A}{\partial x^\mu}(x)\right)$$

Remarks:

- If $\bar y\in J^1_yE$, with $x=\pi(y)$, and $\phi\colon U\to E$ is a representative of $\bar y$ we have the split

  $$\mathrm{T}_yE=\mathrm{Im}\ \mathrm{T}_x\phi\oplus\mathrm{V}_y(\pi)$$

  hence the sections of π^1 are identified with connections in the bundle $\pi\colon E\longrightarrow M$, since they induce a horizontal subbundle of $\mathrm{T}E$.

  Observe that it is reasonable to write $\mathrm{Im}\ \bar y$ for an element $\bar y\in J^1E$.

- Giving a global section of an affine bundle, this one can be identified with its associated vector bundle. In our case we have:
  - If $\pi\colon E\longrightarrow M$ is a trivial bundle, that is $E=M\times F$, a section of π^1 can be chosen in the following way: denoting by $\pi_1\colon M\times F\to M$ and $\pi_2\colon M\times F\to F$ the canonical projections, for a given $y_o\in M\times F$, $y_o=(x_o,v_o)=(\pi_1(y_o),\pi_2(y_o))$, we define the section $\phi_{y_o}(x)=(x,\pi_2(y_o))$, for every $x\in M$. With these sections of π we construct another one z of π^1 as follows:

    $$z(y):=(j^1\phi_y)(\pi_1(y))\quad ;\quad y\in E$$

    which is taken as the zero section of π^1. So, in this case, J^1E is a vector bundle over E.
  - If $\pi\colon E\longrightarrow M$ is a vector bundle with typical fiber F, let $\phi:M\to E$ be the zero section of π and $j^1\phi\colon M\to J^1E$ its canonical lifting. We construct the zero section of $\bar\pi^1$ in the following way:

    $$z(y):=(j^1\phi)(\pi(y))\quad ;\quad y\in E$$

    thereby, in this case $\pi^1\colon J^1E\longrightarrow E$ is a vector bundle.

2.1.2 Vertical differential. Canonical form

(Following [14]).

We are now going to define the canonical geometric structures with which J^1E is endowed with. The first one is the *canonical form*. Firstly we need to introduce the concept of *vertical differentiation*:

Definition 1 *Let $\phi\colon M \to E$ be a section of π, $x \in M$ and $y = \phi(x)$. The* vertical differential *of the section ϕ at the point $y \in E$ is the map*

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} \mathrm{d}^v_y\phi & : & \mathrm{T}_yE & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{V}_y(\pi) \\ & & u & \longmapsto & u - \mathrm{T}_y(\phi\circ\pi)u \end{array}$$

Notice that $\mathrm{d}^v_y\phi$ is well defined since $\mathrm{T}_y\pi \circ \mathrm{d}^v_y\phi = 0$, so the image is in $\mathrm{V}_y(\pi)$ and it depends only on $(j^1\phi)(x)$.

If (x^μ, y^A) is a natural local system of E and $\phi = (x^\mu, \phi^A(x^\mu))$, then

$$\mathrm{d}^v_y\phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\right)_y = -\left(\frac{\partial\phi^A}{\partial x^\mu}\right)_y\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^A}\right)_y \quad , \quad \mathrm{d}^v_y\phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^A}\right)_y = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^A}\right)_y$$

Observe that the vertical differential splits T_yE into a vertical component and another one which is tangent to the imagen of ϕ at the point y. In addition, $\mathrm{d}^v_y\phi(u)$ is the projection of u on the vertical part of this splitting.

As $\mathrm{d}^v_y\phi$ depends only on $(j^1\phi)(\pi(y))$, the vertical differential can be lifted to J^1E in the following way:

Definition 2 *Consider $\bar{y} \in J^1E$ with $\bar{y} \overset{\pi^1}{\mapsto} y \overset{\pi}{\mapsto} x$ and $\bar{u} \in \mathrm{T}_{\bar{y}}J^1E$. The* structure canonical form *of J^1E is a 1-form θ in J^1E with values on $\mathrm{V}(\pi)$ which is defined by*

$$\theta(\bar{y};\bar{u}) := (\mathrm{d}^v_y\phi)(\mathrm{T}_{\bar{y}}\pi^1(\bar{u}))$$

where the section ϕ is a representative of $\bar{y}$.

This expression is well defined and does not depend on the representative ϕ of $\bar{y}$.

If (x^μ, y^A, v^A_μ) is a natural local system of J^1E and $x^\mu(\bar{y}) = \alpha^\mu$, $y^A(\bar{y}) = \beta^A$, $v^A_\mu(\bar{y}) = \gamma^A_\mu$, then:

$$\theta\left(\bar{y};\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\right)_{\bar{y}}\right) = -\gamma^A_\mu\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^A}\right)_y \quad , \quad \theta\left(\bar{y};\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^A}\right)_{\bar{y}}\right) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^A}\right)_y \quad , \quad \theta\left(\bar{y};\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial v^A_\mu}\right)_{\bar{y}}\right) = 0$$

where ϕ is a representative of $\bar{y}$. As one may observe, the images can be placed in the point $\bar{y}$ lifting them to this point from y, by means of the pull-back of bundles.

From the above calculations we conclude that θ is diferentiable and its expression in a natural local system is

$$\theta = (\mathrm{d}y^A - v^A_\mu \mathrm{d}x^\mu) \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A} \tag{1}$$

According to this, θ is a differential 1-form defined in J^1E with values on $\pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}(\pi)$, hence it is an element of $\mathit{\Omega}^1(J^1E, \pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}(\pi)) = \mathit{\Omega}^1(J^1E) \otimes_{J^1E} \Gamma(J^1E, \pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}(\pi))$, where $\mathit{\Omega}^1(J^1E)$ denotes the set of differential 1-forms in J^1E.

Holonomic sections can be characterized using the structure canonical form as follows:

Proposition 1 *Let $\psi\colon M \to J^1E$ be a section of $\bar{\pi}^1$. The necessary and sufficient condition for ψ to be a holonomic section is that $\psi^*\theta = 0$*

(*Proof*) ($\Longleftarrow$) Consider $\psi = j^1\phi$. If $x \in M$ and $v \in \mathrm{T}_xM$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\psi^*\theta)(x; v) &= ((j^1\phi)^*\theta)(x; v) = \theta((j^1\phi)(x); (\mathrm{T}_x j^1\phi)v) \\ &= (\mathrm{d}^v_{\phi(x)}\phi)((\mathrm{T}_{(j^1\phi)(x)}\pi^1)(\mathrm{T}_x j^1\phi(v))) = (\mathrm{d}^v_{\phi(x)}\phi)((\mathrm{T}_x\phi)(v)) \\ &= (\mathrm{T}_x\phi)(v) - \mathrm{T}_{\phi(x)}(\phi\circ\pi)((\mathrm{T}_x\phi)(v)) = 0\end{aligned}$$

($\Longrightarrow$) Now, let $\psi\colon M \to J^1E$ be a section of $\bar{\pi}^1$ such that $\psi^*\theta = 0$. If $x \in M$ and (x^μ, y^A, v^A_μ) is a natural system of coordinates in a neighbourhood U of $\psi(x)$; then we have $\psi|_U = (x^\mu, g^A(x^\mu), f^A_\rho(x^\mu))$ and therefore

$$0 = \psi^*\theta = \left(\frac{\partial g^A}{\partial x^\mu}dx^\mu - f^A_\mu dx^\mu\right) \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A}$$

then $f^A_\mu(x^\rho) = \dfrac{\partial g^A}{\partial x^\mu}(x^\rho)$ and ψ is the canonical prolongation of a section of π. ■

We will use this canonical form in order to construct some other geometric elements on J^1E.

2.1.3 Contact module (Cartan distribution)

The second canonical structure of J^1E is defined in the following way:

Definition 3 *Let θ be the structure canonical form of J^1E. As we have seen, it is an element of $\mathit{\Omega}^1(J^1E) \otimes_{J^1E} \Gamma(J^1E, \pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}(\pi))$; then it can be considered as a $\mathrm{C}^\infty(J^1E)$-linear map*

$$\theta\colon \Gamma(J^1E, \pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}(\pi))^* \longrightarrow \mathit{\Omega}^1(J^1E)$$

The image of this map is called the contact module *or* Cartan distribution *of J^1E. It is denoted by $\mathcal{M}_c$.*

Proposition 2 *$\mathcal{M}_c$ is a locally finite generated module. If (x^μ, y^A, v^A_μ) is a local natural system of coordinates in an open set of J^1E, then $\mathcal{M}_c$ is generated by the forms $\theta^A = \mathrm{d}y^A - v^A_\mu \mathrm{d}x^\mu$ in this open set.*

(*Proof*) The local expression of θ in this local system is $\theta = \left(\mathrm{d}y^A - v^A_\mu\mathrm{d}x^\mu\right) \otimes \dfrac{\partial}{\partial y^A}$. Let $\{\xi^A\}$ be the local basis of $\Gamma(J^1E, \pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}(\pi))^*$ which is dual of $\left\{\dfrac{\partial}{\partial y^A}\right\}$, therefore the image of θ has as a local basis the above mentioned forms. ■

Remark:

- Observe that there is no identification of $\mathrm{V}(\pi)^*$ as a subbundle of T^*E unless we have a connection on $\pi\colon E \to M$. So the elements ξ^A are defined by $\xi^A\left(\dfrac{\partial}{\partial y^B}\right) = \delta^A_B$.

Now, using the Cartan distribution, we have another characterization of holonomic sections:

Proposition 3 *Let $\psi\colon M \to J^1E$ be a section of $\bar\pi^1$. The necessary and sufficient condition for ψ to be a holonomic section is that $\psi^*\alpha = 0$, for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_c$.*

(*Proof*) $(\Longrightarrow)$ Let $\psi = j^1\phi$ be an holonomic section and $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_c$. By definition $\alpha = \theta(\varphi)$, where $\varphi\colon J^1E \to {\pi^1}^*\mathrm{V}(\pi)$ is a section. If $x \in M$ and $v \in \mathrm{T}_xM$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\psi^*\alpha)(x;v) &= \alpha(\psi(x);(\mathrm{T}_x\psi)v) = \theta(\varphi)(\psi(x);(\mathrm{T}_x\psi)v)\\ &= \theta(\psi(x);(\mathrm{T}_x\psi)v,\varphi) = \theta(\psi(x);(\mathrm{T}_x\psi)v)(\varphi) = ((\psi^*\theta)(x;v)(\varphi) = 0\end{aligned}$$

since $\psi^*\theta = 0$, because ψ is holonomic.

$(\Longleftarrow)$ It suffices to see it in an open set of coordinates. Let (x^μ, y^A, v^A_μ) be a local natural system of coordinates in $(\bar\pi^1)^{-1}(U)$, with U an open set in M. Let $\psi\colon M \to J^1E$ be a section such that $\psi^*\alpha = 0$, for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_c$. In particular, in U, we have $\psi|_U = (x^\mu, g^A(x^\mu), f^B_\rho(x^\mu))$, and ψ vanishes on θ^A, hence $f^B_\rho = \dfrac{\partial g^B}{\partial x^\rho}$ and ψ is holonomic. ■

Conversely, the forms belonging to $\mathcal{M}_c$ can be characterized by means of holonomic sections, which is the classical definition of $\mathcal{M}_c$:

Proposition 4 *Consider $\alpha \in \Omega^1(J^1E)$. The necessary and sufficient condition for $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_c$ is that $(j^1\phi)^*\alpha = 0$, for every section $\phi\colon M \to E$.*

(*Proof*) We define the set

$$\mathcal{M}_0 := \{\alpha \in \Omega^1(J^1E) \mid (j^1\phi)^*\alpha = 0,\ \forall\phi\colon M \to E, \text{section of } \pi\}$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{M}_c \subset \mathcal{M}_0$. Now we are able to see that $\mathcal{M}_0$ coincides with $\mathcal{M}_c$ locally.

Consider $\bar y \in J^1E$ with $\pi^1(\bar y) = y$ and $\pi(y) = x$, and let $\phi\colon M \to E$ be a section of π with $\phi(x) = y$ and $(j^1\phi)(x) = \bar y$. If $(x^\mu, y^A, v^A_\mu))$ is a local system of coordinates and $\phi = (x^\mu, \phi^A(x^\mu)$ in this local system, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{T}_xj^1\phi)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\Big|_x &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\Big|_{\bar y} + \frac{\partial\phi^A}{\partial x^\mu}\Big|_x\frac{\partial}{\partial y^A}\Big|_{\bar y} + \frac{\partial^2\phi^A}{\partial x^\mu\partial x^\rho}\Big|_x\frac{\partial}{\partial v^A_\rho}\Big|_{\bar y}\\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\Big|_{\bar y} + v^A_\mu(\bar y)\frac{\partial}{\partial y^A}\Big|_{\bar y} + \frac{\partial^2\phi^A}{\partial x^\mu\partial x^\rho}\Big|_x\frac{\partial}{\partial v^A_\rho}\Big|_{\bar y}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, varying the section ϕ, we obtain all the vectors in $\mathrm{T}_{\bar y}J^1E$ which are tangent to the image of the canonical extension of sections of π. They are all the vectors of the subspace generated by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\Big|_{\bar y} + v^A_\mu(\bar y)\frac{\partial}{\partial y^A}\Big|_{\bar y} \quad ; \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial v^A_\rho}\Big|_{\bar y}$$

A basis of the subspace of $\mathrm{T}^*_{\bar{y}}J^1E$ which is incident to the last one is

$$\theta^A_{\bar{y}} = (\mathrm{d}y^A - v^A_\mu(\bar{y})\mathrm{d}x^\mu)|_{\bar{y}}$$

thereby $\mathcal{M}_0$ is locally generated by $\theta^A = \mathrm{d}y^A - v^A_\mu \mathrm{d}x^\mu$ and, hence, it coincides with $\mathcal{M}_c$. ■

2.1.4 Vertical endomorphisms

Finally, we have two geometrical elements which generalize the notion of the canonical endomorphism of a tangent bundle [22].

As we have seen, $J^1(E)$ is an affine bundle over E with associated vector bundle $\pi^*\mathrm{T}^*M \otimes_E \mathrm{V}(\pi)$. Therefore, if $\bar{y} \in J^1_yE$, the tangent space to the fiber J^1_yE at $\bar{y}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathrm{T}^*_{\pi(y)}M \otimes \mathrm{V}_y(\pi)$. Moreover, $\mathrm{T}_{\bar{y}}J^1_yE$ is just $\mathrm{V}_{\bar{y}}J^1E$, that is, the vertical tangent space of J^1E with respect to the projection π^1. Then:

Definition 4 *For every $\bar{y} \in J^1E$, consider the canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\bar{y}} \colon \mathrm{T}^*_{\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})}M \otimes \mathrm{V}_{\pi^1(\bar{y})}(\pi) \longrightarrow \mathrm{V}_{\bar{y}}(\pi^1)$$

which consists in associating with an element $\alpha \otimes v \in \mathrm{T}^*_{\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})}M \otimes \mathrm{V}_{\pi^1(\bar{y})}(\pi)$ the directional derivative in $\bar{y}$ with respect to $\alpha \otimes v$. Taking into account that $\alpha \otimes v$ acts in J^1_yE by translation

$$\mathcal{S}_{\bar{y}}(\alpha \otimes v) := D_{\alpha\otimes v}(\bar{y}) \colon f \mapsto \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{f(\bar{y} + t(\alpha \otimes v)) - f(\bar{y})}{t}$$

for $f \in \mathrm{C}^\infty(J^1_yE)$. Then we have the following isomorphism of $\mathrm{C}^\infty(J^1E)$-modules

$$\mathcal{S} \colon \Gamma(J^1E, \bar{\pi}^{1^*}\mathrm{T}^*M) \otimes \Gamma(J^1E, \pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}(\pi)) \longrightarrow \Gamma(J^1E, \mathrm{V}(\pi^1))$$

which is called the vertical endomorphism $\mathcal{S}$.

If (x^μ, y^A, v^A_μ) is a natural system of coordinates, the local expression of $\mathcal{S}$ is given, in this local system, by

$$\mathcal{S}\left(\mathrm{d}x^\mu \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial v^A_\mu}$$

and, therefore,

$$\mathcal{S} = \xi^A \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial v^A_\mu} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$$

where $\{\xi^A\}$ is the local basis of $\Gamma(J^1E, \pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}(\pi))^*$ which is dual of $\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^A}\right\}$ as we have pointed out in the remark following proposition 2. So we have:

Proposition 5 $\mathcal{S} \in \Gamma(J^1E, (\pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}(\pi))^*) \otimes \Gamma(J^1E, \mathrm{V}(\pi^1)) \otimes \Gamma(J^1E, \bar{\pi}^{1^*}\mathrm{T}M)$ *(where all the tensor products are on $\mathrm{C}^\infty(J^1E)$).*

In addition, $\mathcal{S}$ is a canonical section of $(\pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}(\pi))^* \otimes \mathrm{V}(\pi^1) \otimes \bar{\pi}^{1^*}\mathrm{T}M$ over J^1E (canonical in the sense that it is associated with the initial structure $\pi \colon E \to M$).

Moreover, we have the canonical form $\theta \in \mathit{\Omega}^1(J^1E) \otimes_{J^1E} \Gamma(J^1E, {\pi^1}^* \mathrm{V}(\pi))$, then we can define:

Definition 5 *The* vertical endomorphism $\mathcal{V}$ *arises from the natural contraction between the factors* $\Gamma(J^1E, ({\pi^1}^*\mathrm{V}(\pi))^*)$ *of* $\mathcal{S}$ *and* $\Gamma(J^1E, {\pi^1}^*\mathrm{V}(\pi))$ *of* θ*; that is*

$$\mathcal{V} = i(\mathcal{S})\theta \in \mathit{\Omega}^1(J^1E) \otimes \Gamma(J^1E, \mathrm{V}(\pi^1)) \otimes \Gamma(J^1E, {\bar{\pi}^1}^* \mathrm{T}M)$$

Taking into account the local expression of θ (eq. (1)), it is clear that the local expression of $\mathcal{V}$ in a natural system of coordinates is

$$\mathcal{V} = \left(\mathrm{d}y^A - v^A_\mu \mathrm{d}x^\mu\right) \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial v^A_\nu} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}$$

J^1E is also endowed with other canonical structures, namely: the *total differentiation* and the *module of total derivatives* (see [31]). Nevertheless we are not going to use them in this work.

2.2 Canonical prolongations

Consider $J^1E \xrightarrow{\pi^1} E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ as above. Geometric objects in E (namely, differential forms and vector fields) can be canonically lifted to J^1E. Next, we study the way this is done, as well as the main properties of these liftings, because they will be used later in order to characterize critical sections starting from variational principles and in the study of symmetries.

2.2.1 Prolongation of sections and diffeomorphisms

Let $\phi\colon U \subset M \to E$ be a local section. In the above paragraphs we have seen that this section can be prolonged to a section $j^1\phi\colon U \to J^1E$ with the following properties

$$1.\quad \pi^1 \circ j^1\phi = \phi \qquad\qquad 2.\quad (j^1\phi)^*\theta = 0$$

In order to evaluate $j^1\phi$ in a point $x \in U$, it suffices to take the equivalence class of ϕ in x, as we have described in the construction of J^1E.

Now, let $\Phi\colon E \to E$ be a diffeomorphism of π-fiber bundles and $\Phi_M\colon M \to M$ the diffeomorphism induced on the basis. Our aim is to lift Φ to a diffeomorphism of J^1E in a natural way; that is, in such a way that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
J^1E \arrow[r, "j^1\Phi"] \arrow[d, "\pi^1"'] & J^1E \arrow[d, "\pi^1"] \\
E \arrow[r, "\Phi"] \arrow[d, "\pi"'] & E \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\
M \arrow[r, "\Phi_M"] & M
\end{tikzcd}$$

Definition 6 *Consider* $\bar{y} \in J^1E$*, with* $\bar{y} \overset{\pi^1}{\mapsto} y \overset{\pi}{\mapsto} x$*, and let* $\phi\colon U \to E$ *be a local representative of* $\bar{y}$*; that is* $\phi \in \bar{y}$*. Then* $\Phi \circ \phi \circ \Phi_M^{-1}$ *is a local section of* π *defined on* $\Phi_M(U)$*. The* canonical prolongation *or the* canonical lifting *of the diffeomorphism* Φ *is the map* $j^1\Phi\colon J^1E \longrightarrow J^1E$ *defined by*

$$(j^1\Phi)(\bar{y}) := j^1(\Phi \circ \phi \circ \Phi_M^{-1})(\Phi_M(x))$$

It is clear that this definition does not depend on the representative $\phi \in \bar{y}$*; that is,* $(j^1\Phi)(\bar{y})$ *is well defined and, moreover, it depends differentiably on* $\bar{y}$*.*

We can check that this definition has the predicted properties. In fact:

Proposition 6 *Let* $\Phi\colon E \to E$ *be a diffeomorphism of fiber bundles. Then*

1. $\pi^1 \circ j^1\Phi = \Phi \circ \pi^1$, $\bar{\pi}^1 \circ j^1\Phi = \Phi_M \circ \bar{\pi}^1$.
2. *If* $\Phi'\colon E \to E$ *is another fiber bundle diffeomorphism, then*
$$j^1(\Phi' \circ \Phi_1) = j^1\Phi' \circ j^1\Phi$$
3. $j^1\Phi$ *is a diffeomorphism of* π^1*-bundles and* $\bar{\pi}^1$*-bundles, and* $(j^1\Phi)^{-1} = j^1\Phi^{-1}$.
4. *If* $\phi\colon U \to E$ *is a local section of* π*, then*
$$j^1(\Phi \circ \phi \circ \Phi_M^{-1}) = j^1\Phi \circ j^1\phi \circ \Phi_M^{-1}$$
5. *If* $\psi\colon U \to J^1E$ *is a holonomic section, with* $\psi = j^1\phi$ *for some* $\phi\colon U \to E$*, then* $j^1\Phi \circ j^1\phi \circ \Phi_M^{-1}\colon \Phi_M(U) \to J^1E$ *is a holonomic section.*

(*Proof*) See [31]. ■

Remark:

- If $\Phi\colon E \to E$ induces the identity in M (that is a *strong diffeomorphism*), then $j^1\Phi$ is defined by
$$(j^1\Phi)(\bar{y}) = j^1(\Phi \circ \phi)(x) \quad ; \quad (\phi \in \bar{y} \quad , \quad \bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y}) = x)$$
since $\Phi \circ \phi$ is a section of π defined in the same open set as the section ϕ. In the same way, in this case, $j^1\Phi \circ j^1\phi = j^1(\Phi \circ \phi)$, and hence canonical prolongations of strong diffeomorphisms transform holonomic sections defined in an open set into holonomic sections defined in the same open set.

2.2.2 Relation with the affine structure

Now we want to describe the relations between the canonical prolongations of diffeomorphisms and the canonical structures of J^1E introduced in the above section.

First of all we study the relation with the affine structure of J^1E.

Proposition 7 *The canonical prolongations of diffeomorphisms* $\Phi\colon E \to E$ *over* π *preserve the affine structure of* $\pi^1\colon J^1E \to E$*.*

(*Proof*) Consider $\bar{y} \in J^1E$ and $\phi \in \bar{y}$, we have

$$(j^1\Phi)(\bar{y}) = (j^1(\Phi \circ \phi \circ \Phi_M^{-1}) \circ \Phi_M)(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y}))$$

Consider now $\alpha \otimes v \in \mathrm{T}^*_{\bar{\pi}^1(y)}M \otimes \mathrm{V}_{\pi^1(\bar{y})}(\pi)$ and the point $\bar{y} + \alpha \otimes v$. Let $\phi' \colon M \to E$ be a local section with $\phi'(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})) = \pi^1(\bar{y})$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})}\phi' = \mathrm{T}_{\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})}\phi + \alpha \otimes v$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(j^1\Phi)(\bar{y} + \alpha \otimes v) &= (j^1(\Phi \circ \phi' \circ \Phi_M^{-1}) \circ \Phi_M)(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y} + \alpha \otimes v)) \\
&= (j^1(\Phi \circ \phi' \circ \Phi_M^{-1}) \circ \Phi_M)(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})) \\
&= \mathrm{T}_{\phi'(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y}))}\Phi \circ \mathrm{T}_{\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})}\phi' \circ \mathrm{T}_{\Phi_M(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y}))}\Phi_M^{-1} \\
&= \mathrm{T}_{\phi(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y}))}\Phi \circ (\mathrm{T}_{\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})}\phi + \alpha \otimes v) \circ \mathrm{T}_{\Phi_M(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y}))}\Phi_M^{-1} \\
&= \mathrm{T}_{\phi(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y}))}\Phi \circ \mathrm{T}_{\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})}\phi \circ \mathrm{T}_{\Phi_M(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y}))}\Phi_M^{-1} + \mathrm{T}_{\phi(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y}))}\Phi \circ \alpha \otimes v \circ \mathrm{T}_{\Phi_M(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y}))}\Phi_M^{-1} \\
&= j^1(\Phi \circ \phi \circ \Phi_M^{-1})(\Phi_M(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})) + (\mathrm{T}^*_{\Phi_M(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y}))}\Phi_M^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{T}_{\pi^1(\bar{y})})\Phi(\alpha \otimes v) \\
&= (j^1\Phi)(\bar{y}) + \varphi(\alpha \otimes v)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi \colon \mathrm{T}^*_{\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})}M \otimes \mathrm{V}_{\pi^1(\bar{y})}(\pi) \to \mathrm{T}^*_{\Phi_M(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y}))}M \otimes \mathrm{V}_{\Phi(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y}))}(\pi)$ is the map $\varphi := \mathrm{T}^*_{\Phi_M(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y}))}\Phi_M^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{T}_{\pi^1(\bar{y})}\Phi$ and hence it is linear, therefore $j^1\Phi$ is an affine mapping. ∎

Remarks:

- See [31] for a local version of this proof.
- Consider $\bar{y} \in J^1E$ with $\pi^1(\bar{y}) = y$. Since J^1_yE is an affine bundle over E with typical fiber $\bar{\pi}^{1^*}\mathrm{T}^*M \otimes \pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}(\pi)$, the tangent space to one fiber at each point is canonically isomorphic to the vector space where the fiber is modelled on; that is

  $$\mathrm{T}^*_{\bar{y}}J^1_yE \simeq \mathrm{T}^*_{\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})}M \otimes \mathrm{V}_y(\pi)$$

  If $\Phi \colon E \to E$ is a π-diffeomorphism, we have seen that $j^1\Phi$ preserves the affine structure, hence the tangent of $j^1\Phi$ is just its linear part; that is, $\mathrm{T}_{\bar{y}}j^1\Phi$ acts on $\mathrm{T}_{\bar{y}}J^1E$ in the following way:

  $$\begin{tikzcd}
  \mathrm{T}_{\bar{y}}J^1E \arrow[r, "\mathrm{T}_{\bar{y}}j^1\Phi"'] \arrow[d, leftrightarrow, "\simeq"'] & \mathrm{T}_{j^1\Phi(\bar{y})}J^1_{\Phi(y)}E \arrow[d, leftrightarrow, "\simeq"] \\
  \mathrm{T}^*_{\bar{y}}M \otimes \mathrm{V}_y(\pi) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{T}^*_{\Phi_M(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y}))}\Phi_M^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{T}_y\Phi"] & \mathrm{T}^*_{\bar{\pi}^1(j^1\Phi(\bar{y}))}M \otimes \mathrm{V}_{\Phi(y)}(\pi)
  \end{tikzcd}$$

  with the canonical identifications.

2.2.3 Relation with the geometric elements

Next we analyze the relation between the canonical prolongation of diffeomorphisms and the contact module, the structure canonical form and the canonical isomorphisms, proving that all of them are invariant under the action of these prolongations.

Proposition 8 *Let $\Phi \colon E \to E$ be a diffeomorphism and $j^1\Phi \colon J^1E \to J^1E$ its canonical prolongation. Then*

1. $(j^1\Phi)^*\mathcal{M}_c = \mathcal{M}_c$ 2. $(j^1\Phi)^*\theta = \theta$

(*Proof*)

1. Consider $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_c$. In order to see that $(j^1\Phi)^*\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_c$ we have to prove that it vanishes on every holonomic section. Let $\phi\colon U \to E$ be a local section and $j^1\phi\colon U \to J^1E$ its canonical prolongation, we have
$$(j^1\phi)^*((j^1\Phi)^*\alpha) = (j^1\Phi \circ j^1\phi)^*\alpha = (j^1(\Phi\circ\phi\circ\Phi_M^{-1})\circ\Phi_M)^*\alpha = (\Phi_M^*\circ j^1(\Phi\circ\phi\circ\Phi_M^{-1})^*)\alpha = 0$$
because $j^1(\Phi\circ\phi\circ\Phi_M^{-1})^*\alpha = 0$ since $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_c$ and $\Phi\circ\phi\circ\Phi_M^{-1}$ is a section of π.
We have proved that $(j^1\Phi)^*\mathcal{M}_c \subset \mathcal{M}_c$ and the converse inclusion is a direct consequence of the third item of proposition 6.

2. Consider θ as a $\mathrm{C}^\infty(J^1E)$-linear map between $\mathcal{X}(J^1E)$ and $\Gamma(J^1E, {\pi^1}^*\mathrm{V}(\pi))$. Then we have
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{X}(J^1E) \arrow[r, "(j^1\Phi)_*"'] \arrow[d, "(j^1\Phi)^*\theta"'] & \mathcal{X}(J^1E) \arrow[d, "\theta"] \\
\Gamma(J^1E, {\pi^1}^*\mathrm{V}(\pi)) \arrow[r, "(j^1\Phi)_*"] & \Gamma(J^1E, {\pi^1}^*\mathrm{V}(\pi))
\end{tikzcd}$$
therefore
$$(j^1\Phi)^*\theta = (j^1\Phi)_*^{-1}\circ\theta\circ(j^1\Phi)_*$$
and $(j^1\Phi)^*\theta = \theta$ is equivalent to
$$(j^1\Phi)_*\circ\theta = \theta\circ(j^1\Phi)_* \tag{2}$$
In order to prove this, let $\bar{y} \in J^1E$, $u \in \mathrm{T}_{\bar{y}}J^1E$ and $\phi \in \bar{y}$, $(\phi\colon U \to E)$. Taking into account the action of $j^1\Phi$ on ${\pi^1}^*\mathrm{V}(\pi)$, we have
$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{T}j^1\Phi\circ\theta)(\bar{y};u) &= \mathrm{T}j^1\Phi(\theta(\bar{y};u)) = \mathrm{T}j^1\Phi(\mathrm{d}^v_y\phi(\mathrm{T}_{\bar{y}}\pi^1(u))) \\
&= (j^1\Phi)_*(\mathrm{T}_{\bar{y}}\pi^1(u) - \mathrm{T}_{\bar{y}}(\phi\circ\bar{\pi}^1)(u)) \\
&= \mathrm{T}_{\bar{y}}(\Phi\circ\pi^1)(u) - \mathrm{T}_{\bar{y}}(\Phi\circ\phi\circ\bar{\pi}^1)(u)
\end{aligned}$$
however, since a representative of $(j^1\Phi)(\bar{y})$ is $\psi = \Phi\circ\phi\circ\Phi_M^{-1}$, we obtain
$$\begin{aligned}
(\theta\circ\mathrm{T}j^1\Phi)(\bar{y};u) &= \theta((j^1\Phi)(\bar{y});\mathrm{T}_{\bar{y}}(u)) \\
&= (\mathrm{d}^v_{\Phi(y)}\psi)((\mathrm{T}_{(j^1\Phi)(\bar{y})}\pi^1\circ\mathrm{T}_{\bar{y}}j^1\Phi)(u)) \\
&= (\mathrm{d}^v_{\Phi(y)}\psi)(\mathrm{T}_{\bar{y}}(\pi^1\circ j^1\Phi)(u)) \\
&= \mathrm{T}_{\bar{y}}(\pi^1\circ j^1\Phi)(u) - (\mathrm{T}_y(\psi\circ\pi)\circ\mathrm{T}_{\bar{y}}(\pi^1\circ j^1\Phi))(u) \\
&= \mathrm{T}_{\bar{y}}(\pi^1\circ j^1\Phi)(u) - \mathrm{T}_y(\Phi\circ\phi\circ\Phi_M^{-1}\circ\bar{\pi}^1\circ j^1\Phi)(u) \\
&= \mathrm{T}_{\bar{y}}(\pi^1\circ j^1\Phi)(u) - \mathrm{T}_y(\Phi\circ\phi\circ\bar{\pi}^1)(u)
\end{aligned}$$

■

Conversely, we can characterize the diffeomorphisms in J^1E which are canonical prolongations as follows:

Proposition 9 *Let $\Phi\colon E \to E$ be a diffeomorphism of π-fiber bundles and $\Phi_M\colon M \to M$ the diffeomorphism induced on the basis. Let $\tilde{\Phi}\colon J^1E \to J^1E$ be a diffeomorphism verifying the following conditions:*

$$1. \quad \pi^1\circ\tilde{\Phi} = \Phi\circ\pi^1 \qquad\qquad 2. \quad \tilde{\Phi}^*\theta = \theta$$

Then $\tilde{\Phi} = j^1\Phi$.

(*Proof*) Let $\bar{y} \in J^1E$ and $\phi \in \bar{y}$, with $\phi: U \to E$, $U \subset M$. The second condition implies that $(j^1\phi)^*(\tilde{\Phi}^*\theta) = (j^1\phi)^*\theta = 0$, then

$$(\Phi_M^{-1^*} \circ (j^1\phi)^* \circ \tilde{\Phi}^*)\theta = (\tilde{\Phi} \circ j^1\phi \circ \Phi_M^{-1})^*\theta = 0$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\pi}^1 \circ \tilde{\Phi} \circ j^1\phi \circ \Phi_M^{-1} &= \pi \circ \pi^1 \circ \tilde{\Phi} \circ j^1\phi \circ \Phi_M^{-1} = \pi \circ \Phi \circ \pi^1 \circ j^1\phi \circ \Phi_M^{-1} \\ &= \Phi_M \circ \pi \circ \phi \circ \Phi_M^{-1} = \Phi_M \circ \mathrm{Id}_U \circ \Phi_M^{-1} = \mathrm{Id}_{\Phi_M(U)} \end{aligned}$$

then the map $\tilde{\Phi} \circ j^1\phi \circ \Phi_M^{-1}: \Phi_M(U) \to J^1E$ is a section of $\bar{\pi}^1$ such that θ vanishes on it, so it is a holonomic section and there exists $\varphi: \Phi_M(U) \to E$, with $\tilde{\Phi} \circ j^1\phi \circ \Phi_M^{-1} = j^1\varphi$. Therefore

$$\varphi = \pi^1 \circ j^1\varphi = \pi^1 \circ \tilde{\Phi} \circ j^1\phi \circ \Phi_M^{-1} = \Phi \circ \pi^1 \circ j^1\phi \circ \Phi_M^{-1} = \Phi \circ \phi \circ \Phi_M^{-1}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi}(\bar{y}) &= \tilde{\Phi}(j^1\phi(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y}))) = (\tilde{\Phi} \circ j^1\phi \circ \Phi_M^{-1})(\Phi_M(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y}))) \\ &= (j^1\varphi)(\Phi_M(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y}))) = j^1(\Phi \circ j^1\phi \circ \Phi_M^{-1})(\Phi_M(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y}))) = (j^1\Phi)(\bar{y}) \end{aligned}$$

so $\tilde{\Phi} = j^1\Phi$. ■

The last two results can be summarized in the following assertion:

Proposition 10 *Let $\tilde{\Phi}: J^1E \to J^1E$ be a diffeomorphism of fiber bundles over E and over M, which induces $\Phi: E \to E$ and $\Phi_M: M \to M$. The necessary and sufficient condition for $\tilde{\Phi}$ to be the canonical prolongation to J^1E of the induced diffeomorphism Φ on E is that $\tilde{\Phi}^*\theta = \theta$.*

Finally, for the vertical endomorphisms we have:

Proposition 11 *Let $\Phi: E \to E$ be a diffeomorphism and $j^1\Phi: J^1E \to J^1E$ its canonical prolongation. Then*

1. $(j^1\Phi)^*\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}$
2. $(j^1\Phi)^*\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}$

(*Proof*) We are going to interpret $(j^1\Phi)^*\mathcal{S}$ as a morphism of sections over J^1E. Remember that, if $\bar{y} \in J^1E$ with $\bar{y} \mapsto y \mapsto x$ and $\alpha \otimes v \in \mathrm{V}_y(\pi) \otimes \mathrm{T}^*_xM$, then $\mathcal{S}(\bar{y}; \alpha \otimes v) = D_{\alpha\otimes v}(\bar{y})$, the directional derivative in J^1_yE at the point $\bar{y}$ in the direction given by $\alpha \otimes v$. According to this we can display the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Gamma(J^1E, \bar{\pi}^{1^*}\mathrm{T}^*M) \otimes \Gamma(J^1E, \pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}(\pi)) \arrow[r, "(j^1\Phi^{-1})^* \otimes (j^1\Phi)_*"'] \arrow[d, "(j^1\Phi)^*\mathcal{S}"'] & \Gamma(J^1E, \bar{\pi}^{1^*}\mathrm{T}^*M) \otimes \Gamma(J^1E, \pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}(\pi)) \arrow[d, "\mathcal{S}"] \\
\Gamma(J^1E, \mathrm{V}(\pi^1)) \arrow[r, "(j^1\Phi)_*"] & \Gamma(J^1E, \mathrm{V}(\pi^1))
\end{tikzcd}$$

therefore, as in the above proposition of invariance of the structure form θ, we have

$$(j^1\Phi)^*\mathcal{S} = ((j^1\Phi^{-1})^* \otimes (j^1\Phi)_*)^{-1} \circ \mathcal{S} \circ (j^1\Phi)^*$$

and the statement of the theorem is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{S} \circ ((j^1\Phi^{-1})^* \otimes (j^1\Phi)_*) = (j^1\Phi)_* \circ \mathcal{S}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{S}\circ((j^1\Phi^{-1})^*\otimes(j^1\Phi)_*))(\bar{y};\alpha\otimes v) &= \mathcal{S}((j^1\Phi)(\bar{y});\mathrm{T}^*j^1\Phi^{-1}\alpha\otimes\mathrm{T}j^1\Phi v)\\ &= D_{\mathrm{T}^*j^1\Phi^{-1}\alpha\otimes\mathrm{T}j^1\Phi v}(j^1\Phi(\bar{y}))\end{aligned}$$

However

$$((j^1\Phi)_*\circ\mathcal{S})(\bar{y};\alpha\otimes v)=(\mathrm{T}_{\bar{y}}j^1\Phi)(D_{\alpha\otimes v}(\bar{y}))$$

but, if $f\in\mathrm{C}^\infty(J^1E)$, then

$$D_{\mathrm{T}^*j^1\Phi^{-1}\alpha\otimes\mathrm{T}j^1\Phi v}(j^1\Phi(\bar{y}))f=\lim_{t\to0}\frac{1}{t}(f(j^1\Phi)(\bar{y})+t(\mathrm{T}^*j^1\Phi^{-1}\alpha\otimes\mathrm{T}j^1\Phi v))-f(j^1\Phi)(\bar{y})))$$

and

$$(\mathrm{T}j^1\Phi(D_{\alpha\otimes v}(\bar{y}))f=\lim_{t\to0}\frac{1}{t}(f((j^1\Phi)(\bar{y}+t\alpha\otimes v))-f(j^1\Phi)(\bar{y})))$$

then it must be proved that

$$(j^1\Phi)(\bar{y}+t\alpha\otimes v)=(j^1\Phi)(\bar{y})+t(\mathrm{T}^*j^1\Phi^{-1}\alpha\otimes\mathrm{T}j^1\Phi v)$$

But this is proposition 7.

Since $\mathcal{V}=i(\mathcal{S})\theta$, the second item obviously holds. ■

2.2.4 Prolongation of projectable vector fields

Let $Z\in\mathcal{X}(E)$ a π-projectable vector field and $\tau_t\colon W\to W$, ($W\subset E$ being an open set), a local one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms of Z. τ_t are bundle-diffeomorphisms, hence there exist their prolongations $j^1\tau_t$ to J^1E. From the properties of τ_t, we deduce that $j^1\tau_t$ is also a local one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms defined in $(\pi^1)^{-1}(W)$, so they are associated to a vector field. Since the prolongations can be done on every open set where a local one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms of Z exists, we can extend this vector field everywhere in its domain.

Definition 7 *Let $Z\in\mathcal{X}(E)$ be a π-projectable vector field. The* canonical prolongation *of Z is the vector field $j^1Z\in\mathcal{X}(J^1E)$ whose associated local one-parameter groups of diffeomorphisms are the canonical prolongations $j^1\tau_t$ of the local one-parameter groups of diffeomorphisms of Z.*

The most relevant properties of these prolongations are:

Proposition 12 *Let $Z\in\mathcal{X}(E)$ be a π-projectable vector field. Then:*

1. *j^1Z is well defined and it is a π^1-projectable vector field, satisfying that $\pi^1_*(j^1Z)=Z$.*
2. $\mathrm{L}(j^1Z)\mathcal{M}_c\subset\mathcal{M}_c$.
3. *The canonical prolongation of Z is the only vector field $j^1Z\in\mathcal{X}(J^1E)$ verifying the two following conditions:*
   (a) j^1Z is π^1-projectable and $\pi^1_*(j^1Z)=Z$.
   (b) j^1Z lets the contact module invariant: $\mathrm{L}(j^1Z)\mathcal{M}_c\subset\mathcal{M}_c$.

(*Proof*)

1. It is a direct consequence of the theorem of existence and unicity of the local one-parameter groups.
2. Since the canonical prolongations of diffeomorphisms preserve the contact module, the result follows straightforwardly.
3. It suffices to see that these conditions allow us to calculate the coordinates of j^1Z in any local canonical system of J^1E. So, let (x^μ, y^A, v^A_μ) be a local natural system in $(\pi^1)^{-1}(W) \subset J^1E$, and $Z = \alpha^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + \beta^A \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A}$ a π-projectable vector field; that is, $\frac{\partial \alpha^\mu}{\partial y^A} = 0$. Since j^1Z is π^1-projectable and $\pi^1_*(j^1Z) = Z$, we have

   $$j^1Z = \alpha^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + \beta^A \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A} + \gamma^A_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial v^A_\mu}$$

   and the only problem is to calculate the coefficients γ^A_μ. But we know that j^1Z preserves the contact module and this is generated by the forms $\theta^A = \mathrm{d}y^A - v^A_\mu \mathrm{d}x^\mu$, then we have

   $$\mathrm{L}(j^1Z)\theta^A = \zeta^A_B \theta^B$$

   where $\zeta^A_B \in \mathrm{C}^\infty(W)$. We obtain

   $$\begin{aligned} \zeta^A_B\theta^B &= \mathrm{L}(j^1Z)\theta^A = (\mathrm{d}\, i(j^1Z) + i(j^1Z)\mathrm{d})\theta^A \\ &= \mathrm{d}(\beta^A - v^A_\mu \alpha^\mu) + i(j^1Z)(\mathrm{d}v^A_\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\mu) \\ &= \frac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial x^\mu}\mathrm{d}x^\mu + \frac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial y^B}\mathrm{d}y^B - \alpha^\mu \mathrm{d}v^A_\mu - v^A_\mu \frac{\partial \alpha^\mu}{\partial x^\rho}\mathrm{d}x^\rho - \gamma^A_\mu \mathrm{d}x^\mu + \alpha^\mu \mathrm{d}v^A_\mu \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial x^\mu} - v^A_\rho \frac{\partial \alpha^\rho}{\partial x^\mu} - \gamma^A_\mu \right) \mathrm{d}x^\mu + \frac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial y^B}\mathrm{d}y^B \end{aligned}$$

   Then, by identification of terms

   $$\zeta^A_B = \frac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial y^B} \quad , \quad -\zeta^A_B v^B_\mu = \frac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial x^\mu} - v^A_\rho \frac{\partial \alpha^\rho}{\partial x^\mu} - \gamma^A_\mu$$

   therefore

   $$\gamma^A_\mu = \frac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial x^\mu} - v^A_\rho \frac{\partial \alpha^\rho}{\partial x^\mu} + v^B_\mu \frac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial y^B}$$

   which completes the local coordinate expression of j^1Z.

■

As a particular case, if $Z \in \mathcal{X}(E)$ is a vertical vector field; that is, $\pi_*Z = 0$, then if τ_t is a local one-parameter group associated with Z, it induces the identity on M. In this case, in a local natural system of coordinates, $Z = \beta^A \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A}$ and its canonical prolongation is

$$j^1Z = \beta^A \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A} + \left(\frac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial x^\mu} + \frac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial y^B} v^B_\mu \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial v^A_\mu}$$

2.2.5 Generalization to non-projectable vector fields

If $Z \in \mathcal{X}(E)$ is not a π-projectable vector field, we can define its prolongation by means of the characterization given in proposition 12. Thus we define:

Definition 8 *Let* $Z \in \mathcal{X}(E)$ *be a vector field. The* canonical prolongation *of* Z *is the only vector field* $j^1Z \in \mathcal{X}(J^1E)$ *verifying the following conditions:*

1. j^1Z *is* π^1*-projectable and* $\pi^1_*(j^1Z) = Z$.
2. *The contact module is invariant under the action of* j^1Z*:* $\mathrm{L}(j^1Z)\mathcal{M}_c \subset \mathcal{M}_c$.

A vector field $X \in \mathcal{X}(J^1E)$ *satisfying these conditions is called an* infinitesimal contact transformation.

In a local system of coordinates, (x^μ, y^A, v^A_μ), with $Z = \alpha^\mu \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + \beta^A \dfrac{\partial}{\partial y^A}$, doing an analogous calculation as in the above section and taking into account that, in general, $\dfrac{\partial \alpha^\mu}{\partial y^A} \neq 0$, we obtain finally

$$j^1Z \;=\; \alpha^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + \beta^A \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A} + \left(\frac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial x^\mu} - v^A_\rho \left(\frac{\partial \alpha^\rho}{\partial x^\mu} + v^B_\mu \frac{\partial \alpha^\rho}{\partial y^B} \right) + v^B_\mu \frac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial y^B} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial v^A_\mu}$$

For further points of view on this subject, see [14] or [18].

3 Lagrangian formalism of first-order field theories

3.1 Lagrangian systems. Variational problems and critical sections

This section is devoted to the introduction of *lagrangian densities* and, using them, to defining the geometrical objects that allow us to study the dynamical behaviour of field theories.

Let us consider again the initial situation $\pi \colon E \to M$ and the jet bundle $\pi^1 \colon J^1E \to E$, M being an orientable manifold.

3.1.1 Lagrangian densities

Dynamics of classical field theories is given from lagrangian densities. A *lagrangian density* depends on the fields and their first derivatives with respect to the space-time variables, and it can be integrated on the images of the fields.

First of all, it is important to point out that the following $\mathrm{C}^\infty(J^1E)$-modules are canonically isomorphic:

1. $\mathrm{C}^\infty(J^1E)\otimes_M \mathit{\Omega}^{n+1}(M)$.
2. $\Gamma(J^1E,\bar\pi^{1^*}\Lambda^{n+1}\mathrm{T}^*M)$.
3. The set of semibasic $(n+1)$-forms on J^1E with respect to the projection $\bar\pi^1$:
$$\{\alpha\in\mathit{\Omega}^{n+1}(J^1E)\mid i(X)\alpha=0\ ,\ \forall X\in\Gamma(J^1E,\mathrm{V}(\bar\pi^1))\}$$
4. The sections of the bundle $\Lambda^{n+1}\mathrm{T}^*M$ along the mapping $\bar\pi^1\colon J^1E\to M$.

(Proofs of this proposition can be found in [19] and [24]). ■

This assertion allow us a free ride on the notion of *lagrangian density*. Then we define:

Definition 9 *A* lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ *is a $\bar\pi^1$-semibasic $(n+1)$-form in J^1E.*

Remarks:

1. After the above proposition, the lagrangian densities will be considered indistinctly as elements of any one of those $\mathrm{C}^\infty(J^1E)$-modules when necessary.
2. The expression of a lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ is
$$\mathcal{L}=f_i\otimes\bar\pi^{1^*}\omega^i=f_i\otimes\omega^i\quad ;\quad f_i\in\mathrm{C}^\infty(J^1E)\ ,\ \omega^i\in\mathit{\Omega}^{n+1}(M)$$
Since we suppose that M is an oriented manifold, suppose $\omega\in\mathit{\Omega}^{n+1}(M)$ is a fixed volume $(n+1)$-form on M, then from now on we will write a lagrangian density as
$$\mathcal{L}=\pounds\omega\quad ;\quad \pounds\in\mathrm{C}^\infty(J^1E)\ ,\ \omega\in\mathit{\Omega}^{n+1}(M)$$
If (x^μ,y^A,v^A_μ) is a natural system of coordinates, we use the expression
$$\mathcal{L}=\pounds(x^\mu,y^A,v^A_\mu)\mathrm{d}x^1\wedge\ldots\wedge\mathrm{d}x^n\wedge\mathrm{d}x^0\equiv\pounds\mathrm{d}^{n+1}x$$
Then:

Definition 10 *The function $\pounds$ is called the* lagrangian function *associated with $\mathcal{L}$ and ω.*

3. Bearing this in mind, the exterior differential of $\mathcal{L}$ is $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}=\mathrm{d}\pounds\wedge\omega$, which is an element of $\mathit{\Omega}^{n+2}(J^1E)$ or an element of $\mathit{\Omega}^1(J^1E)\wedge\Gamma(J^1E,\bar\pi^{1^*}\Lambda^{n+1}\mathrm{T}^*M)$, with the exterior product as $\mathrm{C}^\infty(J^1E)$-modules.

   Observe that, if X_1,X_2 are π^1-vertical vector fields, then $i(X_1)\,i(X_2)\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}=0$.

Finally, we establish the following terminology:

Definition 11 *A* lagrangian system *is a pair $((E,M;\pi),\mathcal{L})$ where*

1. *$\pi\colon E\to M$ is a differentiable bundle with M an orientable manifold.*
2. *$\mathcal{L}$ is a lagrangian density.*

3.1.2 Lagrangian canonical forms. Properties

Now we can define the canonical forms induced by a lagrangian density. Given a lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ which we write in the form $\mathcal{L} = \pounds\omega$, we are going to associate it with some differential forms in order to use them for studying variational problems.

The standpoint objects are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V} &\in \mathit{\Omega}^1(J^1E) \otimes \Gamma(J^1E, \mathrm{V}(\pi^1)) \otimes \Gamma(J^1E, \bar{\pi}^{1^*}\mathrm{T}M) \\ \mathrm{d}\mathcal{L} &\in \mathit{\Omega}^1(J^1E) \wedge \Gamma(J^1E, \bar{\pi}^{1^*}\Lambda^{n+1}\mathrm{T}^*M) \end{aligned}$$

and we can contract the factors $\Gamma(J^1E, \mathrm{V}(\pi^1))$ of $\mathcal{V}$ with $\mathit{\Omega}^1(J^1E)$ of $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}$, and $\Gamma(J^1E, \bar{\pi}^{1^*}\mathrm{T}M)$ with $\Gamma(J^1E, \bar{\pi}^{1^*}\Lambda^{n+1}\mathrm{T}^*M)$, obtaining a factor in $\Gamma(J^1E, \bar{\pi}^{1^*}\Lambda^{n}\mathrm{T}^*M)$. So we have an element of $\mathit{\Omega}^1(J^1E) \wedge \Gamma(J^1E, \bar{\pi}^{1^*}\Lambda^{n}\mathrm{T}^*M)$ which is also an element of $\mathit{\Omega}^{n+1}(J^1E)$ in a natural way. Then

Definition 12 *Let $((E, M; \pi), \mathcal{L})$ be a lagrangian system.*

1. *The $(n+1)$-form in J^1E*
$$\vartheta_{\mathcal{L}} := i(\mathcal{V})\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}$$
(obtained after doing the above-mentioned contractions) is called the lagrangian canonical form *associated with the lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$.*
2. *The $(n+1)$-form in J^1E*
$$\Theta_{\mathcal{L}} := \vartheta_{\mathcal{L}} + \mathcal{L}$$
is called the Poincaré-Cartan $(n+1)$-form *associated with the lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$.*
3. *The $(n+2)$-form in J^1E*
$$\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} := -\mathrm{d}\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$$
is called the Poincaré-Cartan $(n+2)$-form *associated with the lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$.*

In a natural system of coordinates (x^μ, y^A, v^A_μ) the expressions of these elements are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V} &= \theta^A \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial v^A_\mu} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \\ \theta^A &= \mathrm{d}y^A - v^A_\rho \mathrm{d}x^\rho \\ \mathrm{d}\mathcal{L} &= \mathrm{d}\pounds \wedge \omega \\ \omega &= \mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \ldots \mathrm{d}x^n \wedge \mathrm{d}x^0 \equiv \mathrm{d}^{n+1}x \\ \vartheta_{\mathcal{L}} := i(\mathcal{V})\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L} &= \frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial v^A_\mu}\theta^A \wedge i\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\right)\omega = \frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial v^A_\mu}\theta^A \wedge \mathrm{d}^n x_\mu \\ &\equiv \frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial v^A_\mu}\theta^A \wedge (-1)^{\mu+1}\mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \ldots \mathrm{d}x^{\mu-1} \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{\mu+1} \wedge \ldots \mathrm{d}x^0 \\ &= \frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial v^A_\mu}\mathrm{d}y^A \wedge \mathrm{d}^n x_\mu - \frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial v^A_\mu} v^A_\mu \mathrm{d}^{n+1}x \\ \Theta_{\mathcal{L}} &= \frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial v^A_\mu}\mathrm{d}y^A \wedge \mathrm{d}^n x_\mu - \left(\frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial v^A_\mu} v^A_\mu - \pounds\right)\mathrm{d}^{n+1}x \\ \Omega_{\mathcal{L}} &= -\mathrm{d}\left(\frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial v^A_\mu}\right) \wedge \mathrm{d}y^A \wedge \mathrm{d}^n x_\mu + \mathrm{d}\left(\frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial v^A_\mu} v^A_\mu - \pounds\right) \wedge \mathrm{d}^{n+1}x \end{aligned}$$

As a direct consequence of the definition and the above local expressions, the relation between these canonical forms and the prolongation of sections is the following:

Proposition 13 *Let $\phi\colon U \subset M \to E$ be a local section of π. If $\mathcal{L}$ is a lagrangian density then*

1. $(j^1\phi)^*\vartheta_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$.
2. $(j^1\phi)^*\Theta_{\mathcal{L}} = (j^1\phi)^*\mathcal{L}$.

3.1.3 Density of lagrangian energy

In the last paragraph we have seen how, in the local expression of the Poincaré-Cartan $(n+2)$-form, appears the factor

$$\mathrm{E}_{\pounds} \equiv \frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial v^A_\mu} v^A_\mu - \pounds \tag{3}$$

which is recognized as the classical expression of the *lagrangian energy* associated with the lagrangian function $\pounds$. Is there any global function on J^1E having this local expression?. We are going to prove that, in order to give an intrinsic construction of this function and, by extension, of a *density of lagrangian energy*, it is necessary to choose a connection in the bundle $\pi\colon E \to M$ [2]. This dependence is hidden in the given local expression because any local systems of coordinates in this bundle induces a local connection with vanishing Christoffel symbols.

First of all, we refer to the appendix A in order to take into account the basic concepts about connections in the bundle $\pi\colon E \to M$. Then we will see how the identifications made in this appendix influence the vertical endomorphisms and the lagrangian forms.

Consider the bundle sequence

$$J^1E \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow M$$

and the bundle $\pi^{1^*}\mathrm{T}E \to J^1E$. If ∇ is a connection in $\pi\colon E \to M$ inducing the splitting $\mathrm{T}E = \mathrm{V}(\pi) \oplus \mathrm{H}(\nabla)$, we also have that

$$\pi^{1^*}\mathrm{T}E = \pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}(\pi) \oplus \pi^{1^*}\mathrm{H}(\nabla)$$

and therefore we obtain

$$\pi^{1^*}\mathrm{T}^*E = \pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}^*(\pi) \oplus \pi^{1^*}\mathrm{H}^*(\nabla)$$

as a splitting in the dual bundle. Hence $\pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}^*(\pi)$ is identified as a subbundle of $\pi^{1^*}\mathrm{T}^*E$.

If ξ is a section of $\pi^{1^*}\mathrm{T}^*E \to J^1E$; that is, $\xi \in \Gamma(J^1E, \pi^{1^*}\mathrm{T}^*E)$, then ξ is an element of $\mathit{\Omega}^1(J^1E)$, that is, a 1-form on J^1E, in the following way: let $\bar{y} \mapsto y \mapsto x$ and $X \in \mathcal{X}(J^1E)$. We write

$$\xi(\bar{y}; X) = \xi_y((\mathrm{T}_{\bar{y}}\pi^1)(X_{\bar{y}}))$$

where ξ_y is the value of ξ at $\bar{y}$, translated to $y = \pi^1(\bar{y})$ by means of the natural identification between the corresponding fibers of $\pi^{1^*}\mathrm{T}^*E$ and T^*E.

In the same way, as every connection induces an injection $\pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}^*(\pi) \hookrightarrow \pi^{1^*}\mathrm{T}^*E$, then every $\zeta \in \Gamma(J^1E, \pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}^*(\pi))$ is a 1-form in J^1E. We then have

$$\Gamma(J^1E, \pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}^*(\pi)) \hookrightarrow \mathit{\Omega}^1(J^1E)$$

---

[2] In a forthcomming work [12], we will prove that this density of lagrangian energy allows us to construct a *hamiltonian density* and a formulation of the theory in hamiltonian terms.

Consider now the vertical endomorphisms $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{V}$, which, as we know, are sections of the bundles

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{S} & \in & \Gamma(J^1E,(\pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}(\pi))^*)\otimes\Gamma(J^1E,\mathrm{V}(\pi^1))\otimes\Gamma(J^1E,\bar{\pi}^{1^*}\mathrm{T}M) \\ \mathcal{V} & \in & \mathit{\Omega}^1(J^1E)\otimes\Gamma(J^1E,\mathrm{V}(\pi^1))\otimes\Gamma(J^1E,\bar{\pi}^{1^*}\mathrm{T}M) \end{array}$$

(remember that $(\pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}(\pi))^* = \pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}^*(\pi)$). Taking into account the above identifications, the following operation holds

$$\mathcal{S}-\mathcal{V}\in\mathit{\Omega}^1(J^1E)\otimes\Gamma(J^1E,\mathrm{V}(\pi^1))\otimes\Gamma(J^1E,\bar{\pi}^{1^*}\mathrm{T}M)$$

Consequently we are able to achieve the contraction of this difference with differential forms in J^1E such as $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}$, obtaining

$$i(\mathcal{S}-\mathcal{V})\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}\in\mathit{\Omega}^{n+1}(J^1E)$$

which is a semibasic form with respect to π^1. Then we can define:

Definition 13 *Let $((E,M;\pi),\mathcal{L})$ be a lagrangian system and ∇ be a connection in $\pi\colon E\to M$. The* density of lagrangian energy *associated with the lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ and the connection ∇ is the semibasic $(n+1)$-form in J^1E given by*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\nabla} := i(\mathcal{S}-\mathcal{V})\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}-\mathcal{L}$$

As in the case of the lagrangian density, the expression of the density of lagrangian energy is

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\nabla} = F_i\otimes\bar{\pi}^{1^*}\omega^i = F_i\otimes\omega^i \quad ; \quad F_i\in\mathrm{C}^\infty(J^1E)\ ,\ \omega^i\in\mathit{\Omega}^{n+1}(M)$$

and, if $\omega\in\mathit{\Omega}^{n+1}(M)$ is the volume $(n+1)$-form on M, from now on we will write the density of lagrangian energy as

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\nabla} = \mathrm{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\nabla}\bar{\pi}^{1^*}\omega\equiv\mathrm{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\nabla}\omega \quad ; \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\nabla}\in\mathrm{C}^\infty(J^1E)\ ,\ \omega\in\mathit{\Omega}^{n+1}(M)$$

Then:

Definition 14 *The function* $\mathrm{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\nabla}$ *is called the* lagrangian energy function *associated with $\mathcal{L}$, ∇ and ω.*

In order to see the local expressions of these elements, let (x^μ, y^A, v_μ^A) be a natural system of coordinates. We have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \nabla & = & \mathrm{d}x^\mu\otimes\left(\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}+\mathit{\Gamma}_\mu^A\dfrac{\partial}{\partial y^A}\right) \\ \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\nabla} & = & \mathrm{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\nabla}\mathrm{d}^{n+1}x \\ \mathcal{S} & = & (\mathrm{d}y^A-\mathit{\Gamma}_\mu^A\mathrm{d}x^\mu)\otimes\dfrac{\partial}{\partial v_\nu^A}\otimes\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \\ \mathcal{V} & = & \left(\mathrm{d}y^A-v_\mu^A\mathrm{d}x^\mu\right)\otimes\dfrac{\partial}{\partial v_\nu^A}\otimes\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \end{array}$$

where, in the expression of $\mathcal{S}$, we have taken $\{\mathrm{d}y^A-\mathit{\Gamma}_\mu^A\mathrm{d}x^\mu\}$ as a local basis of the sections of $\pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}^*(\pi)$ over J^1E, associated with the connection ∇. This basis is well defined because $\pi^{1^*}\mathrm{V}^*(\pi)$ is the incident submodule to $\pi^{1^*}\mathrm{H}(\nabla)$, which is locally generated by $\left\{\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}+\mathit{\Gamma}_\mu^A\dfrac{\partial}{\partial y^A}\right\}$. Hence

$$\mathcal{S}-\mathcal{V} = (v_\mu^A-\mathit{\Gamma}_\mu^A)\mathrm{d}x^\mu\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial v_\nu^A}\otimes\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}$$

and then the density of lagrangian energy is

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\nabla} := i(\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{V})\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L} = \left(\frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial v_{\mu}^{A}}(v_{\mu}^{A} - \Gamma_{\mu}^{A}) - \pounds \right) \mathrm{d}^{n+1}x$$

where

$$\mathrm{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\nabla} = \frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial v_{\mu}^{A}}(v_{\mu}^{A} - \Gamma_{\mu}^{A}) - \pounds$$

is the expression of the lagrangian energy. As one may observe, the definition of the density of lagrangian energy associated with $\mathcal{L}$ and ∇ depends only on these elements.

Remark:

- If the bundle $\pi\colon E \to M$ is trivial, that is $E = F \times M$, then we have a natural connection on E given by the splitting $\mathrm{T}E = \mathrm{T}F \times \mathrm{T}M$, and the density of lagrangian energy associated with this natural connection is just (3), since $\Gamma_{\mu}^{A} = 0$.

As a final remark, we will compare the densities of lagrangian energy corresponding to two different connections.

First of all, as is described in appendix A, given a connection ∇ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(E, \pi^{*}\mathrm{T}^{*}M) \otimes \Gamma(E, \mathrm{V}(\pi))$, then $\nabla + \gamma$ is also a connection and, conversely, if ∇ and ∇' are connections then $\nabla - \nabla' = \gamma \in \Gamma(E, \pi^{*}\mathrm{T}^{*}M) \otimes \Gamma(E, \mathrm{V}(\pi))$; that is, the difference between two connections is not a connection. Hence, for a given connection ∇, the construction of the density of lagrangian energy allows us to define a map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Gamma(E, \pi^{*}\mathrm{T}^{*}M) \otimes \Gamma(E, \mathrm{V}(\pi)) & \longrightarrow & \Gamma(J^{1}E, \bar{\pi}^{1^{*}}\Lambda^{n+1}\mathrm{T}^{*}M) \\ \gamma & \mapsto & \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\nabla+\gamma} - \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\nabla} \end{array}$$

which is a $\mathrm{C}^{\infty}(E)$-morphism of modules. This is the so-called *Legendre transformation form* in [14].

In another way, if we denote by $\mathcal{S}^{\nabla}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\nabla+\gamma}$ the "vertical endomorphisms corresponding to ∇ and $\nabla + \gamma$" respectivelly, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\nabla+\gamma} - \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\nabla} = i(\mathcal{S}^{\nabla+\gamma} - \mathcal{S}^{\nabla})\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}$$

In a local system of coordinates we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \gamma & = & \gamma_{\mu}^{A}\mathrm{d}x^{\mu} \otimes \dfrac{\partial}{\partial y^{A}} \\ \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\nabla+\gamma} - \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\nabla} & = & -\gamma_{\mu}^{A}\dfrac{\partial \pounds}{\partial v_{\nu}^{A}}\mathrm{d}^{n+1}x \end{array}$$

Note that this difference does not depend on the connection but only on γ.

This expression of the difference of densities of lagrangian energy corresponding to two different connections is recognized by stating that the density of lagrangian energy depends linearly on the connection.

3.1.4 Variational problem associated with a lagrangian density

If $\phi\colon M \to E$ is a section of π and $j^1\phi\colon M \to J^1E$ is its canonical prolongation, since $\mathcal{L}$ is a $(n+1)$-form on J^1E, then $(j^1\phi)^*\mathcal{L} \in \Omega^{n+1}(M)$. Taking this into account we can define:

Definition 15 *Let $((E, M; \pi), \mathcal{L})$ be a lagrangian system. Let $\Gamma_c(M, E)$ be the set of compact supported sections of π and consider the map*

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \mathbf{L} & : \Gamma_c(M,E) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R} \\ & \phi & \mapsto & \int_M (j^1\phi)^*\mathcal{L} \end{array}$$

The variational problem *posed by the lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ is the problem of searching for the critical (or stationary) sections of the functional* $\mathbf{L}$.

Remark:

- The sections must be “stationary” with respect to the variations of ϕ given by $\phi_t = \tau_t \circ \phi$, where $\{\tau_t\}$ is a local one-parameter group of any vector field $Z \in \mathcal{X}(E)$ which is π-vertical; that is,
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0} \int_M (j^1\phi_t)^*\mathcal{L} = 0$$
Z is required to be vertical in order to assure that ϕ_t is a section defined in the same open set as ϕ (except for some problems in the domain of τ_t), since τ_t induces the identity on M.

3.1.5 Characterization of critical sections

In this paragraph we suppose that a lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ is given and we are going to study the conditions for a section of π with compact support to be a critical section of the variational problem associated with this lagrangian density. For other approaches see [17]. [14], [18].

Theorem 1 *Let $((E, M; \pi), \mathcal{L})$ be a lagrangian system. The following assertions on a section $\phi \in \Gamma_c(M, E)$ are equivalent* [3].

1. *ϕ is a critical section for the variational problem posed by $\mathcal{L}$.*
2. $\displaystyle\int_M (j^1\phi)^*\, \mathrm{L}(j^1Z)\mathcal{L} = 0$ *, for every $Z \in \mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{V}(\pi)}(E)$.*
3. $\displaystyle\int_M (j^1\phi)^*\, \mathrm{L}(j^1Z)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$ *, for every $Z \in \mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{V}(\pi)}(E)$.*
4. $(j^1\phi)^*\, i(j^1Z)\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$*, for every $Z \in \mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{V}(\pi)}(E)$.*
5. $(j^1\phi)^*\, i(X)\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$*, for every $X \in \mathcal{X}(J^1E)$.*
6. *If (x^μ, y^A, v^A_μ) is a local natural system of coordinates and $\mathcal{L} = \pounds\omega = \pounds\mathrm{d}^{n+1}x$, the coordinates of ϕ in that system satisfy the* Euler-Lagrange equations*:*
$$\frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial y^A}\Big|_{j^1\phi} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\left(\frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial v^A_\mu}\Big|_{j^1\phi}\right) = 0 \quad ; \quad (A = 1, \ldots, N)$$

---

[3] In the Lie derivatives and contractions, $\mathcal{L}$ must be understood as a $(n+1)$-form on J^1E.

(*Proof*) $(1 \Leftrightarrow 2)$ If $Z \in \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{V}(\pi)}(E)$ and τ_t is a one-parameter local group of Z, according to the results in the paragraph 2.2, we have

$$j^1(\tau_t \circ \phi) = j^1\tau_t \circ j^1\phi$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0} \int_M (j^1(\tau_t \circ \phi))^*\mathcal{L} &= \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{t}\left(\int_M (j^1(\tau_t \circ \phi))^*\mathcal{L} - \int_M (j^1\phi)^*\mathcal{L}\right) \\ &= \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{t}\left(\int_M (j^1\phi)^*(j^1\tau_t)^*\mathcal{L} - \int_M (j^1\phi)^*\mathcal{L}\right) \\ &= \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{1}{t}\left(\int_M (j^1\phi)^*[(j^1\tau_t)^*\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}]\right) = \int_M (j^1\phi)^*\, \mathrm{L}(j^1Z)\mathcal{L} \end{aligned}$$

and the results follows immediatelly.

$(2 \Leftrightarrow 3)$ Taking into account that $(j^1\phi)^*\mathcal{L} = (j^1\phi)^*\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$, for every section of π, we have ϕ is a critical section if, and only if, for every $Z \in \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{V}(\pi)}(E)$.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big|_{t=0} \int_M (j^1(\tau_t \circ \phi))^*\Theta_{\mathcal{L}} = \int_M (j^1\phi)^*\, \mathrm{L}(j^1Z)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$$

where τ_t is the one-parameter local group associated with Z.

$(3 \Leftrightarrow 4)$ Taking into account the last item, we have ϕ is a critical section if, and only if, $\int_M (j^1\phi)^*\, \mathrm{L}(j^1Z)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$, for every $Z \in \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{V}(\pi)}(E)$. But

$$\mathrm{L}(j^1Z)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathrm{d}\, i(j^1Z)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}} + i(j^1Z)\mathrm{d}\Theta_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathrm{d}\, i(j^1Z)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}} - i(j^1Z)\Omega_{\mathcal{L}}$$

and as ϕ has compact support, using Stoke's theorem we obtain

$$\int_M (j^1\phi)^*\mathrm{d}\, i(j^1Z)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}} = \int_M \mathrm{d}(j^1\phi)^*\, i(j^1Z)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$$

and hence ϕ is a critical section if, and only if,

$$\int_M (j^1\phi)^*\, i(j^1Z)\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$$

and therefore, according to the fundamental theorem of variational calculus (see the comment at the end of the proof), the section is critical if, and only if,

$$(j^1\phi)^*\, i(j^1Z)\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$$

$(4 \Leftrightarrow 5)$ The sufficency is a consequence of the above theorem.

We will attempt to prove that the condition is necessary. Suppose $\phi \in \Gamma_c(M,E)$ is stationary; that is,

$$(j^1\phi)^*\, i(j^1Z)\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} = 0 \qquad \forall Z \in \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{V}(\pi)}(E)$$

and consider $X \in \mathfrak{X}(J^1E)$, which can be writen as $X = X_\phi + X_v$ where X_ϕ is tangent to the image of $j^1\phi$ and X_v is vertical in relation to $\bar{\pi}^1$, both in the points of the image of $j^1\phi$. However, $X_v = (X_v - j^1(\pi^1_*X_v)) + j^1(\pi^1_*X_v)$, where $j^1(\pi^1_*X_v)$ is understood as the prolongation of a vector field which coincides with $\pi^1_*X_v$ on the image of ϕ. Observe that $\pi^1_*(X_v - j^1(\pi^1_*X_v)) = 0$ on the points of the image of $j^1\phi$. Therefrom

$$(j^1\phi)^*\, i(X)\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} = (j^1\phi)^*\, i(X_\phi)\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} + (j^1\phi)^*\, i(X_v - j^1(\pi^1_*X_v))\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} + (j^1\phi)^*\, i(j^1(\pi^1_*X_v))\Omega_{\mathcal{L}}$$

However, $(j^1\phi)^*\, i(X_\phi)\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$ because X_ϕ is tangent to the image of $j^1\phi$, hence $\Omega_{\mathcal{L}}$ acts on linearly dependent vector fields. Nevertheless, $(j^1\phi)^*\, i(X_v - j^1(\pi^1_* X_v))\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$ because $X_v - j^1(\pi^1_* X_v)$ is π^1-vertical and $\Omega_{\mathcal{L}}$ vanishes on these vector fields, when it is restricted to $j^1\phi$ (again, see the comment at the end of the proof). Therefore

$$\int_M (j^1\phi)^*\, i(X)\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} = \int_M (j^1\phi)^*\, i(j^1(\pi^1_* X_v))\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$$

since ϕ is stationary and $\pi^1_* X_v \in \mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{V}(\pi)}(E)$.

$(2 \Leftrightarrow 6)$ ϕ is a stationary section if, and only if,

$$\int_M (j^1\phi)^*\, \mathrm{L}(j^1 Z)\mathcal{L} = 0 \quad , \quad \forall Z \in \mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{V}(\pi)}(E)$$

If $Z = \beta^A \dfrac{\partial}{\partial y^A}$ then

$$j^1 Z = \beta^A \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A} + \left(\frac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial x^\mu} + \frac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial y^B} v^B_\mu \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial v^A_\mu}$$

and hence

$$i(j^1 Z)\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L} = i(j^1 Z)(\mathrm{d}\pounds \wedge \omega) = i(j^1 Z)(\mathrm{d}\pounds \wedge \mathrm{d}^{n+1}\omega) = \beta^A \frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial y^A} + \left(\frac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial x^\mu} + \frac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial y^B} v^B_\mu \right) \frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial v^A_\mu}$$

therefore we have

$$(j^1\phi)^*\, i(j^1 Z)\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L} = \left[(\beta^A \circ \phi) \frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial y^A} \circ j^1\phi + \left(\frac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial x^\mu} \circ \phi + \left(\frac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial y^B} \circ \phi \right) \frac{\partial \phi^B}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial v^A_\mu} \circ j^1\phi \right) \right] \mathrm{d}^{n+1}x \qquad (4)$$

Now we will calculate the integral. Firstly, one may observe that the last term gives

$$\int_M \left(\frac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial y^B} \circ \phi \right) \frac{\partial \phi^B}{\partial x^\mu} \left(\frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial v^A_\mu} \circ j^1\phi \right) \mathrm{d}^{n+1}x = \int_M \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}(\beta^A \circ \phi) - \frac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial x^\mu} \circ \phi \right] \left(\frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial v^A_\mu} \circ j^1\phi \right) \mathrm{d}^{n+1}x$$

and the second term of this last expression cancels the second one in (4).Whereas for the first one, taking into account that the section is compact supported and integrating by parts, we have

$$\int_M \frac{\partial \beta^A \circ \phi}{\partial x^\mu} \left(\frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial v^A_\mu} \circ j^1\phi \right) \mathrm{d}x^{n+1}x = - \int_M (\beta^A \circ \phi) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left(\frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial v^A_\mu} \circ j^1\phi \right) \mathrm{d}x^{n+1}x$$

therefore

$$\int_M i(j^1 Z)\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L} = \int_M \left(\frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial y^A} \circ j^1\phi - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left(\frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial v^A_\mu} \circ j^1\phi \right) \right) (\beta^A \circ \phi)\mathrm{d}x^{n+1}x = 0$$

and since β^A are arbitrary functions, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial y^A} \circ j^1\phi - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left(\frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial v^A_\mu} \circ j^1\phi \right) = 0$$

as set out to prove. ■

Remark:

- In this context, the fundamental theorem of variational calculus is applied in the following way: let $\phi \in \Gamma_c(M,E)$ be a section for which there exists $Z \in \mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{V}(\pi)}(E)$ and $x \in M$ such that
$$((j^1\phi)^* \, i(j^1 Z)\Omega_{\mathcal{L}})(x) \neq 0$$
we will see that, in this case, the section is not critical. In order to do this, it suffices to find a vector field $Z' \in \mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{V}(\pi)}(E)$ such that
$$\int_M (j^1\phi)^* \, i(j^1 Z')\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} \neq 0$$
This vector field Z' is constructed as follows: let W be a coordinate open set with $x \in W$ and such that $(j^1\phi)^* \, i(j^1 Z)\Omega_{\mathcal{L}}|_W = g\mathrm{d}^{n+1}x$ with $g > 0$, and let $f \colon M \to \mathbf{R}$ be a function verifying that

  1. $f|_W \leq 0$.
  2. There exists $V \subset W$ such that $f|_V > 0$.
  3. $f|_{M-W} = 0$.

  Consider the vector field $Z' = fZ$. Since f vanishes out of the coordinate neighbourhood, the integral involving Z' vanishes there. Consequently, on W, we have
$$\begin{array}{lcl} Z = \beta^A \dfrac{\partial}{\partial y^A} & \Rightarrow & j^1 Z = \beta^A \dfrac{\partial}{\partial y^A} + Z = \beta^A \dfrac{\partial}{\partial y^A} \left(\dfrac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial x^\mu} + v^B_\mu \dfrac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial y^B} \right) \dfrac{\partial}{\partial v^A_\mu} \\ Z' = fZ & \Rightarrow & j^1 Z' = j^1(fZ) = f j^1 Z + \beta^A \dfrac{\partial f}{\partial x^\mu} \dfrac{\partial}{\partial v^A_\mu} \end{array}$$
therefore $i(j^1 Z') = f \, i(j^1 Z) + i(X)$, where $X = \beta^A \dfrac{\partial f}{\partial x^\mu} \dfrac{\partial}{\partial v^A_\mu}$, but since
$$\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} = -\mathrm{d}\frac{\partial \pounds}{\partial v^A_\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d}y^A \wedge \mathrm{d}^n x_\mu + \mathrm{d}E \wedge \mathrm{d}^{n+1}x$$
we obtain
$$\begin{array}{rcl} i(X)\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} & = & -\beta^B \mathrm{d}\dfrac{\partial f}{\partial x^\rho} \dfrac{\partial^2 \pounds}{\partial v^B_\rho \partial v^A_\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d}y^A \wedge \mathrm{d}^n x_\mu + \beta^B \dfrac{\partial f}{\partial x^\rho} \dfrac{\partial E}{\partial v^B_\rho} \wedge \mathrm{d}^{n+1}x \\ & & -\beta^B \mathrm{d}\dfrac{\partial f}{\partial x^\rho} \dfrac{\partial^2 \pounds}{\partial v^B_\rho \partial v^A_\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d}y^A \wedge \mathrm{d}^n x_\mu + \beta^B \dfrac{\partial f}{\partial x^\rho} \dfrac{\partial^2 \pounds}{\partial v^B_\rho \partial v^A_\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d}^{n+1}x \end{array}$$
If $\phi = (x^\mu, \phi^A(x^\mu))$, we have
$$(j^1\phi) \, i(X)\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} = -\beta^B \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\rho} \frac{\partial^2 \pounds}{\partial v^B_\rho \partial v^A_\mu}\Big|_{j^1\phi} \frac{\partial \phi^A}{\partial x^\mu} \mathrm{d}^{n+1}x + \beta^B \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\rho} \frac{\partial^2 \pounds}{\partial v^B_\rho \partial v^A_\mu}\Big|_{j^1\phi} \frac{\partial \phi^A}{\partial x^\mu} \mathrm{d}^{n+1}x = 0$$
therefore
$$(j^1\phi) \, i(j^1 Z')\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} = (j^1\phi) f \, i(j^1 Z)\Omega_{\mathcal{L}}$$
and, taking into account the conditions on W and f, we conclude that
$$\int_M (j^1\phi)^* \, i(j^1 Z')\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} = \int_W (j^1\phi)^* f \, i(j^1 Z)\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} = \int_W f (j^1\phi)^* \, i(j^1 Z)\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} > 0$$

3.2 Lagrangian formalism with jet fields

The lagrangian formalism for field theories can also be established in a more analogous way than in the case of mechanical systems using *1-jet fields*. We devote the following paragraps to developing these topics and review the main results obtained in the above sections.

First, we will introduce the kind of geometrical objects which are relevant in order to provide this particular formulation of dynamics of field theories. Once again, we refer to the appendix A for the basic concepts related to jet fields in a jet bundle.

3.2.1 1-jet fields in the bundle J^1J^1E

Consider the bundle $\bar{\pi}^1\colon J^1E \to M$. The jet bundle J^1J^1E is obtained by defining an equivalence relation on the local sections of $\bar{\pi}^1$. Hence, the elements of J^1J^1E are equivalence classes of these local sections and J^1J^1E is an affine bundle over J^1E, modelled on the vector bundle $\bar{\pi}^{1^*}\mathrm{T}^*M \otimes_{J^1E} \mathrm{V}(\pi^1)$. So, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
J^1J^1E \arrow[r, "\pi^1_1"] \arrow[dr, "\bar{\pi}^1_1"'] & J^1E \arrow[r, "\pi^1"] \arrow[d, "\bar{\pi}_1"] & E \arrow[dl, "\pi"] \\
 & M &
\end{tikzcd} \tag{5}$$

Let $\mathcal{Y}\colon J^1E \to J^1J^1E$ be a 1-jet field in J^1J^1E. As we know $\mathcal{Y}$ induces a connection form ∇ and a horizontal $n+1$-subbundle $\mathrm{H}(J^1E)$ such that

$$\mathrm{T}J^1E = \mathrm{V}(\pi^1) \oplus \mathrm{Im}\,\nabla = \mathrm{V}(\pi^1) \oplus \mathrm{H}(J^1E)$$

where $\mathrm{H}_{\bar{y}} = \mathrm{Im}\mathrm{T}_{\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})}\psi$, for $\bar{y} \in J^1E$ and $\psi\colon M \to J^1E$ a representative of $\mathcal{Y}(\bar{y})$. We denote by $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y})$ the $\mathrm{C}^\infty(J^1E)$-module of sections of $\mathrm{H}(J^1E)$.

If $(x^\mu, y^A, v^A_\mu, a^A_\rho, b^A_{\rho\mu})$ is a natural local system in J^1J^1E, we have the following local expressions for these elements

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Y} &= (x^\mu, y^A, v^A_\mu, F^A_\rho(x^\mu, y^A, v^A_\mu), G^A_{\nu\rho}(x^\mu, y^A, v^A_\mu)) \\
\mathrm{H}(J^1E) &= \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + F^A_\mu(\bar{y})\frac{\partial}{\partial y^A} + G^A_{\rho\mu}(\bar{y})\frac{\partial}{\partial v^A_\rho} \right\} \\
\nabla &= \mathrm{d}x^\mu \otimes \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + F^A_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A} + G^A_{\rho\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial v^A_\rho} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

If $\mathcal{Y}\colon J^1E \to J^1J^1E$ is a 1-jet field then a section $\psi\colon M \to J^1E$ is said to be an *integral section* of $\mathcal{Y}$ iff $\mathcal{Y} \circ \psi = j^1\psi$. $\mathcal{Y}$ is said to be an *integrable jet field* iff it admits integral sections. One may readily check that, in a natural local system of coordinates in J^1J^1E, $\psi = (x^\mu, f^\mu(x^\nu), g^A_\mu(x^\nu)$ and it is an integral section of $\mathcal{Y}$ if, and only if, ψ is a solution of the following system of differential equations

$$\frac{\partial f^A}{\partial x^\mu} = F^A_\mu \circ \psi \qquad \frac{\partial g^A_\rho}{\partial x^\mu} = G^A_{\rho\mu} \circ \psi$$

Remember that if ψ is an integral section of $\mathcal{Y}$, then the distribution $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y})$ is tangent to the image of ψ and conversely. Hence, $\mathcal{Y}$ is integrable if, and only if, $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{Y})$ is an involutive distribution or, what is equivalent, if, and only if, the curvature of ∇ is nule.

3.2.2 The S.O.P.D.E. condition

The idea of this paragraph is to characterize the integrable jet fields in J^1J^1E such that their integral sections are canonical prolongations of sections from M to E.

It is well known that there are two natural projections from $\mathrm{T}\mathrm{T}Q$ to $\mathrm{T}Q$. In the same way, if we consider the diagram (5), we are going to see that there is another natural projection from J^1J^1E to J^1E. Let $\mathbf{y} \in J^1J^1E$ with $\mathbf{y} \overset{\pi^1_1}{\mapsto} \bar{y} \overset{\pi^1}{\mapsto} y \overset{\pi}{\mapsto} x$, and $\psi\colon M \to J^1E$ a representative of $\mathbf{y}$, that is, $\mathbf{y} = \mathrm{T}_x\psi$. Consider now the section $\phi = \pi^1 \circ \psi\colon M \to E$, then $j^1\phi(x) \in J^1E$ and we have:

Proposition 14 *The projection*

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} j^1\pi^1 & : & J^1J^1E & \longrightarrow & J^1E \\ & & \mathbf{y} & \mapsto & j^1(\pi^1 \circ \psi)(\bar{\pi}^1_1(\mathbf{y})) \end{array}$$

is differentiable.

(*Proof*) Let $(x^\mu, y^A, v^A_\mu, a^A_\rho, b^A_{\mu\rho})$ be a natural local system in a neigbourhood of $\mathbf{y}_0 \in J^1J^1E$ and $\mathbf{y}_0 = (x^\mu_0, y^A_0, v^A_{\mu\,0}, a^A_{\rho\,0}, b^A_{\mu\rho 0})$. We have $\pi^1_1(\mathbf{y}_0) = (x^\mu{}_0, y^A{}_0, v^A_{\mu\,0})$. Let $\psi\colon M \to J^1E$ be a representative of $\mathbf{y}_0$; locally $\psi = (x^\mu, f^A(x^\nu), g^A_\mu(x^\nu))$ with

$$f^A(x^\nu_0) = y^A_0 \quad , \quad g^A_\mu(x^\nu_0) = v^A_{\mu\,0} \quad ; \quad \frac{\partial f^A(x^\nu_0)}{\partial x^\rho} = a^A_{\rho\,0} \quad , \quad \frac{\partial g^A_\mu(x^\nu_0)}{\partial x^\rho} = b^A_{\rho\mu 0}$$

then $\phi = \pi^1 \circ \psi = (x^\mu, f^A(x^\nu))$, and

$$j^1\phi = \left(x^\mu, f^A(x^\nu), \frac{\partial f^A}{\partial x^\mu}(x^\nu) \right)$$

Hence $j^1\pi^1(\mathbf{y}_0) = (x^\mu_0, y^A_0, a^A_{\rho\,0})$ and the result follows. ■

Remark:

- Observe that $j^1\pi^1$ and π^1_1 exchange the coordinates v^A_μ and a^A_μ.

Corolary 1 *If* $\psi\colon M \to J^1E$ *is a section of* $\bar{\pi}^1$, *then* $j^1\pi^1 \circ j^1\psi = j^1(\pi^1 \circ \psi)$.

(*Proof*) In a local coordinate system $(x^\mu, y^A, v^A_\mu, a^A_\rho, b^A_{\mu\rho})$, we have $\psi = (x^\mu, f^A(x^\nu), g^A_\rho(x^\nu))$ and $j^1\psi = \left(x^\mu, f^A(x^\nu), g^A_\rho(x^\nu), \frac{\partial f^A}{\partial x^\rho}(x^\nu), \frac{\partial g^A_\mu}{\partial x^\rho}(x^\nu) \right)$, but $j^1\pi^1 \circ j^1\psi = \left(x^\mu, f^A(x^\nu), \frac{\partial f^A}{\partial x^\rho}(x^\nu) \right) = j^1(\pi^1 \circ \psi)$. ■

Definition 16 *A 1-jet field* $\mathcal{Y}\colon J^1E \to J^1J^1E$ *is said to be a* Second Order Partial Differential Equation (SOPDE), *or also that verifies the* SOPDE condition, *iff it is a section of the projection* $j^1\pi^1$ *or, what is equivalent,*

$$j^1\pi^1 \circ \mathcal{Y} = \mathrm{Id}_{J^1E}$$

This kind of jet fields can be characterized in the following way:

Proposition 15 *Let $\mathcal{Y}: J^1E \to J^1J^1E$ be an integrable 1-jet field. The necessary and sufficient condition for $\mathcal{Y}$ to be a SOPDE is that its integral sections are canonical prolongations of sections $\phi: M \to E$.*

(*Proof*) ($\Longrightarrow$) If $\mathcal{Y}$ is a SOPDE then $j^1\pi^1 \circ \mathcal{Y} = \mathrm{Id}_{J^1E}$. Let $\psi: M \to J^1E$ be an integral section of $\mathcal{Y}$; that is, $\mathcal{Y} \circ \psi = j^1\psi$, then

$$\psi = j^1\pi^1 \circ \mathcal{Y} \circ \psi = j^1\pi^1 \circ j^1\psi = j^1(\pi^1 \circ \psi) \equiv j^1\phi$$

and ψ is a canonical prolongation.

($\Longleftarrow$) Now, let $\mathcal{Y}$ be an integrable jet field whose integral sections are canonical prolongations. Take $\bar{y} \in J^1E$ and $\phi: M \to E$ a section such that $j^1\phi: M \to J^1E$ is an integral section of $\mathcal{Y}$ with $j^1\phi(\pi^1(\bar{y})) = \bar{y}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(j^1\pi^1 \circ \mathcal{Y})(\bar{y}) &= (j^1\pi^1 \circ \mathcal{Y})(j^1\phi(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y}))) = (j^1\pi^1 \circ \mathcal{Y} \circ j^1\phi)(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})) = (j^1\pi^1 \circ \mathcal{Y} \circ \psi)(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})) \\ &= (j^1\pi^1 \circ j^1\psi)(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})) = j^1(\pi^1 \circ \psi)(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})) = j^1\phi(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})) = \bar{y}\end{aligned}$$

and $\mathcal{Y}$ is a SOPDE. ■

Remark:

- In coordinates, the condition $j^1\pi^1 \circ \mathcal{Y} = \mathrm{Id}_{J^1E}$ is expressed as follows: the jet field $\mathcal{Y} = (x^\mu, y^A, v^A_\mu, F^A_\rho, G^A_{\nu\rho})$ is a SOPDE if, and only if, $F^A_\rho = v^A_\rho$.
- If $\mathcal{Y} = (x^\mu, y^A, v^A_\mu, v^A_\rho, G^A_{\nu\rho})$ is a SOPDE and $j^1\phi = \left(x^\mu, f^A, \dfrac{\partial f^A}{\partial x^\mu}\right)$ is an integral section of $\mathcal{Y}$, then the differential equations for ϕ are

  $$G^A_{\nu\rho}\left(x^\nu, f^A, \frac{\partial f^A}{\partial x^\gamma}\right) = \frac{\partial^2 f^A}{\partial x^\rho \partial x^\nu}$$

  which justifies the nomenclature. y

3.2.3 Action of jet fields on forms

Let $\mathcal{Y}: J^1E \to J^1J^1E$ be a 1-jet field. A map $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}: \mathcal{X}(M) \to \mathcal{X}(J^1E)$ can be defined in the following way: Let $Z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, $\bar{\mathcal{Y}}(Z) \in \mathcal{X}(J^1E)$ is the vector field defined as

$$\bar{\mathcal{Y}}(Z)(\bar{y}) := (\mathrm{T}_{\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})}\psi)(Z_{\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})})$$

for every $\bar{y} \in J^1E$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{Y}(\bar{y})$. This map is an element of $\mathit{\Omega}^1(M) \otimes_M \mathcal{X}(J^1E)$ and its local expression is

$$\bar{\mathcal{Y}}\left(f^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\right) = f^\mu \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + F^A_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A} + G^A_{\rho\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial v^A_\rho}\right)$$

(We can recover the connection form using this map, as follows: $\nabla = \bar{\mathcal{Y}} \circ \bar{\pi}^1_*$).

This map induces an action of $\mathcal{Y}$ on the forms on J^1E. In fact, let $\xi \in \varOmega^{n+m+1}(J^1E)$, with $m \geq 0$, we define $i(\mathcal{Y})\xi \colon \mathcal{X}(M)\times \overset{(n+1)}{\cdots} \times\mathcal{X}(M) \longrightarrow \varOmega^m(J^1E)$ given by

$$((i(\mathcal{Y})\xi)(Z_1,\dots,Z_{n+1}))(\bar{y};X_1,\dots,X_m) := \xi(\bar{y};\bar{\mathcal{Y}}(Z_1),\dots,\bar{\mathcal{Y}}(Z_{n+1}),X_1,\dots,X_m)$$

for $Z_1,\dots,Z_{n+1} \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ and $X_1,\dots,X_m \in \mathcal{X}(J^1E)$. It is a $\mathrm{C}^\infty(M)$-linear and alternate map on the vector fields $Z_1,\dots,Z_{n+1}$.

Definition 17 *Let $\mathcal{Y}\colon J^1E \to J^1J^1E$ be a 1-jet field and $\xi \in \varOmega^p(J^1E)$. The $\mathrm{C}^\infty(J^1E)$-linear map $i(\mathcal{Y})\xi$ just defined, extended by zero to forms of degree $p < n+1$, is called the* inner contraction *of the jet field $\mathcal{Y}$ and the differential form ξ.*

An important result related with the action of integrable jet fields is:

Theorem 2 *Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be an integrable jet field and $\xi \in \varOmega^{n+2}(J^1E)$. The necessary and sufficient condition for the integral sections of $\mathcal{Y}$, $\psi\colon M \to J^1E$, to verify the relation $\psi^*\, i(X)\xi = 0$, for every $X \in \mathcal{X}(J^1E)$, is $i(\mathcal{Y})\xi = 0$.*

(*Proof*) ($\Longleftarrow$) If $i(\mathcal{Y})\xi = 0$ then $((i(\mathcal{Y})\xi)(Z_1,\dots,Z_{n+1}))(\bar{y};X) = 0$, for every $Z_i \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, $\bar{y} \in J^1E$ and $X \in \mathcal{X}(J^1E)$. Let $\psi\colon M \to J^1E$ be an integral section of $\mathcal{Y}$ with $\psi(x) = \bar{y}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= ((i(\mathcal{Y})\xi)(Z_1,\dots,Z_{n+1}))(\psi(x);X) = \xi(\psi(x);\mathrm{T}_x\psi(Z_1),\dots,\mathrm{T}_x\psi(Z_{n+1}),X) \\ &= (i(X)\xi)(\psi(x);\mathrm{T}_x\psi(Z_1),\dots,\mathrm{T}_x\psi(Z_{n+1})) = (\psi^*\, i(X)\xi)(x;Z_1,\dots,Z_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{X}(J^1E)$, $x \in M$, $Z_i \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. And then the result follows.

($\Longrightarrow$) If $\psi^*\, i(X)\xi = 0$, for every $X \in \mathcal{X}(J^1E)$, then, let $Z_1,\dots,Z_{n+1} \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, $\bar{y} \in J^1E$ and $\psi\colon M \to E$ an integral section of $\mathcal{Y}$ with $\psi(x) = \bar{y}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} ((i(\mathcal{Y})\xi)(Z_1,\dots,Z_{n+1}))(\psi(x);X) &= (i(X)\xi)(\psi(x);\mathrm{T}_x\psi(Z_1),\dots,\mathrm{T}_x\psi(Z_{n+1})) \\ &= (\psi^*\, i(X)\xi)(x;Z_1,\dots,Z_{n+1}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{X}(J^1E)$, $x \in M$, $Z_i \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. Hence $i(\mathcal{Y})\xi = 0$. ■

From the definition, one may readily prove the following properties for this map:

Proposition 16

1. *$i(\mathcal{Y})(\xi_1 + \xi_2) = i(\mathcal{Y})(\xi_1) + i(\mathcal{Y})(\xi_2)$.*
2. *$i(\mathcal{Y})(f\xi) = f\, i(\mathcal{Y})(\xi)$, for every $f \in \mathrm{C}^\infty(J^1E)$.*
3. *$i(\mathcal{Y})(\xi) \in \varOmega^{n+1}(M) \otimes_M \varOmega^m(J^1E)$.*

The above definition rules also for jet fields in J^1E and differential forms $\xi \in \varOmega^p(E)$, in an analogous way. So, every 1-jet field Ψ defines a map $\bar{\Psi}\colon \mathcal{X}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}(E)$ as follows: if $y \in E$ then, to every $Z \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, $\bar{\Psi}$ associates the vector field $\bar{\Psi}(Z) \in \mathcal{X}(E)$ defined as $\bar{\Psi}(Z)(y) := (\mathrm{T}_{\pi^1(y)}\phi)(Z_{\pi^1(y)})$ $(\phi \in \Psi(y))$. Then, if $\xi \in \varOmega^{n+m+1}(E)$ $(m \geq 0)$, we define $i(\Psi)\xi \colon \mathcal{X}(M)\times \overset{(n+1)}{\cdots} \times\mathcal{X}(M) \longrightarrow \varOmega^m(E)$ given by

$$((i(\Psi)\xi)(Z_1,\dots,Z_{n+1}))(\bar{y};X_1,\dots,X_m) := \xi(\bar{y};\bar{\Psi}(Z_1),\dots,\bar{\Psi}(Z_{n+1}),X_1,\dots,X_m)$$

for $Z_1,\dots,Z_{n+1} \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ and $X_1,\dots,X_m \in \mathcal{X}(E)$.

3.2.4 Lagrangian and Energy functions. Euler-Lagrange equations

Now we are ready to establish the lagrangian formulation of field theories using 1-jet fields in J^1J^1E.

First of all, you can check (using the corresponding local expressions) that the lagrangian and energy functions can be characterized using jet fields as follows:

Proposition 17 *Let* $((E, M; \pi), \mathcal{L})$ *be a lagrangian system and* ω *the volume form in* M*. Set* $Z_1, \ldots, Z_{n+1} \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ *such that* $\omega(Z_1, \ldots, Z_{n+1}) = 1$.

1. *If* ∇ *is a connection form in* J^1E*, the lagrangian energy function can be equivalently obtained as:*
   (a) *For any* 1*-jet field* Ψ *in* J^1E
   $$\mathrm{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\nabla} = -\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}(j^1(\bar{\Psi}(Z_1), \ldots, j^1(\bar{\Psi}(Z_{n+1}))$$
   (b) *For any 1-jet vector* $\mathcal{Y}$ *in* J^1J^1E,
   $$\mathrm{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\nabla} = (i(\mathcal{Y})\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\nabla})(Z_1, \ldots, Z_{n+1})$$
2. *For any 1-jet field* $\mathcal{Y}$ *in* J^1J^1E*, the lagrangian function can be recover as*
   $$\pounds = (i(\mathcal{Y})\mathcal{L})(Z_1, \ldots, Z_{n+1})$$

On the other hand, it is known that the critical section of the associated variational problem satisfies the following conditions:

1. They are sections $\phi\colon M \to E$.
2. $(j^1\phi)^*\, i(j^1Z)\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$, for every $Z \in \mathcal{X}(J^1E)$.

then, taking into account the statements and comments in the above sections, it is evident that:

Proposition 18 *Let* $((E, M; \pi), \mathcal{L})$ *be a lagrangian system. The critical section of the variational problem posed by* $\mathcal{L}$ *are the integral sections of a jet field* $\mathcal{Y}$*, if and only if,* $\mathcal{Y}$ *satisfies the following conditions:*

1. $\mathcal{Y}$ *is integrable; that is,* $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{Y}) = 0$.
2. $\mathcal{Y}$ *is a SOPDE; that is,* $j^1\pi^1 \circ \mathcal{Y} = \mathrm{Id}_{J^1E}$.
3. $i(\mathcal{Y})\Omega_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$.

This is the version of the Euler-Lagrange equations in terms of jet fields.

4 Lagrangian symmetries and Noether's theorem

4.1 Symmetries. Noether's theorem

We are going to consider the question of symmetries in the lagrangian formalism of field theories. The most common and meaningful way to treat this subject is by using the theory of *actions of Lie groups and algebras*. However, in this chapter, we will give a brief and simple introduction to this question and we reserve the study of symmetries as actions of Lie groups for further research.

4.1.1 Symmetries of lagrangian systems

In Mechanics, a *symmetry* of a lagrangian dynamical system is a diffeomorphism in the phase space of the system (the *tangent bundle*) which leaves the lagrangian function invariant. Thus, it is usual to speak about *natural* or *physical symmetries*, that is, those which are canonical liftings of diffeomorphisms in the basis of the tangent bundle: the *configuration space* of the system (or also vertical diffeomorphisms). These preserve the canonical structures of the tangent bundle and, also, as a consequence, the dynamical geometric structures induced by the lagrangian.

Nevertheless, diffeomorphisms in the tangent bundle which leave the lagrangian invariant, but which are not canonical liftings of diffeomorphisms in the basis, do not preserve either the natural or the dynamical structures of the tangent bundle. Thus, in the lagrangian formalism, these kinds of symmetries are usually considered as pathological or undesired from the physical point of view and in general they are not considered (although the situation is not the same in the hamiltonian formalism, where symmetries which are not canonical liftings play a relevant role in some theories).

Taking these comments as our standpoint, and in order to establish a more general concept of symmetry for field theories, we define:

Definition 18 *Let $((E,M;\pi),\mathcal{L})$ be a lagrangian system.*

1. *A* natural symmetry *of the lagrangian system is a diffeomorphism $\Phi\colon E\to E$ such that its canonical prolongation $j^1\Phi\colon J^1E\to J^1E$ leaves $\mathcal{L}$ invariant.*
2. *A* (general) symmetry *of the lagrangian system is a diffeomorphism $\Upsilon\colon J^1E\to J^1E$ such that*
    - *(a) Υ leaves the canonical geometric structures of J^1E (θ, $\mathcal{M}_c$, S, $\mathcal{V}$) invariant.*
    - *(b) Υ leaves $\mathcal{L}$ invariant.*

It is evident that every natural symmetry is also a (general) symmetry, but the converse is not true. At present, we are interested only in natural symmetries, although all the comments and results we obtain for them also hold for (general) symmetries.

Remarks:

- Since $\mathcal{L}$ is a $(n+1)$-form on J^1E, $j^1\Phi$ acts on it by pull-back. Then, for $\mathcal{L}$ to be invariant by $j^1\Phi$ means that $(j^1\Phi)^*\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}$. Hence, one way to obtain the explicit expression of $(j^1\Phi)^*\mathcal{L}$

consists in considering the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathcal{X}(J^1E))^{n+1} \arrow[r, "((j^1\Phi)_*)^{n+1}"'] \arrow[d, "(j^1\Phi)^*\mathcal{L}"'] & (\mathcal{X}(J^1E))^{n+1} \arrow[d, "\mathcal{L}"] \\
\mathrm{C}^\infty(J^1E) \arrow[r, "((j^1\Phi)^*)^{-1}"] & \mathrm{C}^\infty(J^1E)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is understood as an element of $\mathit{\Omega}^{n+1}(J^1E)$, we have

$$(j^1\Phi)^*\mathcal{L} = (j^1\Phi)^* \circ \mathcal{L} \circ ((j^1\Phi)_*)^{n+1}$$

that is, if $X_i \in \mathcal{X}(J^1E)$ and $\bar{y} \in J^1E$,

$$((j^1\Phi)^*\mathcal{L})(\bar{y}; X_1, \ldots, X_{n+1}) = \mathcal{L}(j^1\Phi(\bar{y}); (j^1\Phi)_*X_1, \ldots, (j^1\Phi)_*X_{n+1})$$

- Another way to obtain explicit expression of $(j^1\Phi)^*\mathcal{L}$ consists in considering $\mathcal{L}$ as an element of $\Gamma(J^1E, \bar{\pi}^{1^*}\Lambda^{n+1}\mathrm{T}^*M,)$, then we have the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bar{\pi}^{1^*}\Lambda^{n+1}\mathrm{T}^*M \arrow[r, "(j^1\Phi, \Lambda^{n+1}\Phi_M^{*\,-1})"'] \arrow[d, "(j^1\Phi)^*\mathcal{L}"'] & \bar{\pi}^{1^*}\Lambda^{n+1}\mathrm{T}^*M \arrow[d, "\mathcal{L}"] \\
J^1E \arrow[r, "j^1\Phi"] & J^1E
\end{tikzcd}$$

and then we obtain that

$$(j^1\Phi)^*\mathcal{L} = (j^1\Phi, \Lambda^{n+1}\Phi_M^{*\,-1})^{-1} \circ \mathcal{L} \circ j^1\Phi = (j^1\Phi^{-1}, \Lambda^{n+1}\Phi_M^*) \circ \mathcal{L} \circ j^1\Phi$$

that is, the same expression as above, taking into account that $\mathcal{L}$ is a $(n+1)$-form on M with coefficients in $\mathrm{C}^\infty(J^1E)$.

- Finally, for the last interpretation we consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathcal{X}(E))^{n+1} \arrow[r, "(\Phi_M)_*^{n+1}"'] \arrow[d, "(j^1\Phi)^*\mathcal{L}"'] & (\mathcal{X}(E))^{n+1} \arrow[d, "\mathcal{L}"] \\
\mathrm{C}^\infty(J^1E) \arrow[r, "((j^1\Phi)^*)^{-1}"] & \mathrm{C}^\infty(J^1E)
\end{tikzcd}$$

and therefore

$$(j^1\Phi)^*\mathcal{L} = (j^1\Phi) \circ \mathcal{L} \circ (\Phi_M)_*^{n+1}$$

As predicted, we have the following relevant property:

Proposition 19 *If $\Phi\colon E \to E$ is a natural symmetry of the lagrangian system $((E, M; \pi), \mathcal{L})$ then*

1. $(j^1\Phi)^*\vartheta_{\mathcal{L}} = \vartheta_{\mathcal{L}}$.
2. $(j^1\Phi)^*\Theta_{\mathcal{L}} = \Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$.

(*Proof*) Since $j^1\Phi\colon J^1E \to J^1E$ is a natural prolongation, it verifies that

$$(j^1\Phi)^*\theta = \theta \quad , \quad (j^1\Phi)^*\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S} \quad , \quad (j^1\Phi)^*\Theta_{\mathcal{L}} = \Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$$

and, since the pull-back commutes with the contractions and $(j^1\Phi)^*\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}$, the desired results follow immediately. ■

4.1.2 Infinitesimal symmetries

A symmetry of a lagrangian system can be thought of being generated by a vector fied. This is the standpoint for the following definition:

Definition 19 *Let $((E,M;\pi),\mathcal{L})$ be a lagrangian system.*

1. *An* infinitesimal natural symmetry *of the lagrangian system is a vector field $Z \in \mathcal{X}(E)$ such that its canonical prolongation leaves $\mathcal{L}$ invariant:*
$$\mathrm{L}(j^1Z)\mathcal{L} = 0$$
2. *An* infinitesimal (general) symmetry *of the lagrangian system is a vector field $X \in \mathcal{X}(J^1E)$ such that:*
   - *(a) The canonical geometric structures of J^1E (θ, $\mathcal{M}_c$, S, $\mathcal{V}$) are invariant under the action of X.*
   - *(b) X leaves $\mathcal{L}$ invariant.*

In these cases $\mathcal{L}$ is said to be invariant *by j^1Z or X respectively.*

As in the above paragraph we will limit ourselves to natural symmetries.

Remarks:

- $\mathrm{L}(j^1Z)\mathcal{L}$ is calculated in the usual way, once $\mathcal{L}$ is understood as a $(n+1)$-form on J^1E; that is
$$\mathrm{L}(j^1Z)\mathcal{L} = i(j^1Z)\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L} + \mathrm{d}\, i(j^1Z)\mathcal{L}$$
- The statement that $Z \in \mathcal{X}(E)$ is an infinitesimal symmetry is equivalent to stating that the local one-parameter groups of Z are symmetries of the lagrangian system (in the sense of definition 18).
- This kind of symmetries, as well as those in the above paragraph, are said to be *dynamical symmetries* (in order to distinguish them from other kinds of symmetries), because they are canonical prolongations of diffeomorphisms or vector fields on E, which is the configuration space of the physical fields.

In order to obtain the local coordinate expression, suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \alpha^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + \beta^A \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A} \\ j^1Z &= \alpha^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + \beta^A \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A} + \left(\frac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial x^\mu} - \frac{\partial \alpha^\rho}{\partial x^\mu} v^A_\rho + \frac{\partial \beta^A}{\partial y^B} v^B_\mu \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial v^A_\mu} \end{aligned}$$

and, taking $\mathcal{L} = \pounds\omega$, we have $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{d}\pounds \wedge \omega$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} i(j^1Z)\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L} &= ((j^1Z)\pounds)\omega - \mathrm{d}\pounds \wedge i(j^1Z)\omega \\ i(j^1Z)\mathcal{L} &= \pounds\, i(j^1Z)\omega \\ \mathrm{d}\, i(j^1Z)\mathcal{L} &= \mathrm{d}\pounds \wedge i(j^1Z)\omega + \pounds \mathrm{d}\, i(j^1Z)\omega \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{L}(j^1Z)\mathcal{L} &= ((j^1Z)\pounds)\omega + \pounds\mathrm{d}\, i(j^1Z)\omega = ((j^1Z)\pounds)\omega + \pounds\mathrm{d}\left(\alpha^\mu\, i\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\right)\omega\right) \\ &= ((j^1Z)\pounds)\omega + \pounds\sum_\mu \frac{\partial\alpha^\mu}{\partial x^\mu}\omega = \left((j^1Z)\pounds + \pounds\sum_\mu\frac{\partial\alpha^\mu}{\partial x^\mu}\right)\omega\end{aligned}$$

therefore, if $\mathcal{L}$ is invariant by Z we obtain

$$\delta_Z\pounds \equiv (j^1Z)\pounds + \pounds\sum_\mu\frac{\partial\alpha^\mu}{\partial x^\mu} = 0$$

where $\delta_Z\pounds$ is usually called the *total variation* of $\pounds$.

In the particular case that Z is a vertical vector field, $\alpha^\mu = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is invariant by Z if, and only if, $(j^1Z)\pounds = 0$.

In relation to infinitesimal symmetries, we have the following relevant property:

Proposition 20 *If $Z \in \mathcal{X}(E)$ is an infinitesimal natural symmetry of the lagrangian system $((E,M;\pi),\mathcal{L})$ then:*

1. $\mathrm{L}(j^1Z)^*\vartheta_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$.
2. $\mathrm{L}(j^1Z)^*\Theta_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$.

(*Proof*) It is a direct consequence of proposition 19. ■

4.1.3 Noether's theorem

Closely related to the problem of symmetries is the question of *conserved quantities*. The main result is:

Theorem 3 (Noether): *Let Z be an infinitesimal symmetry of the system $((E,M;\pi),\mathcal{L})$. Then, the n-form $\mathrm{J}(Z) := i(j^1Z)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$ is constant on the critical sections of the variational problem posed by $\mathcal{L}$.*

(*Proof*) Let $\phi\colon M \to E$ be a critical section of the variational problem, that is,

$$(j^1\phi)^*\, i(X)\mathrm{d}\Theta_{\mathcal{L}} = 0 \quad , \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{X}(J^1E)$$

Since $\mathcal{L}$ is invariant under Z we have

$$0 = \mathrm{L}(j^1Z)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathrm{d}\, i(j^1Z)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}} + i(j^1Z)\mathrm{d}\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}0 = (j^1\phi)^*[\mathrm{L}(j^1Z)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}] &= (j^1\phi)^*[\mathrm{d}\, i(j^1Z)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}] + (j^1\phi)^*[i(j^1Z)\mathrm{d}\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}] \\ &= (j^1\phi)^*[\mathrm{d}\, i(j^1Z)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}] = \mathrm{d}[(j^1\phi)^*\, i(j^1Z)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}]\end{aligned}$$

and the resulst follows. ■

Definition 20 *Let* $((E,M;\pi),\mathcal{L})$ *be a lagrangian system. If* ϕ *is a critical section for the variational problem posed by* $\mathcal{L}$*, then the expression* $(j^1\phi)^*\mathrm{J}(Z)$ *is called Noether's current associated with* Z*.*

In order to give an interpretation of this current, let $N\subset M$ be a manifold with boundary ∂N, such that $\dim N=\dim M$ and ϕ a critical section. Since $\mathrm{d}[(j^1\phi)^*\, i(j^1Z)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}]=0$, we obtain

$$0=\int_N \mathrm{d}[(j^1\phi)^*\, i(j^1Z)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}]=\int_N \mathrm{d}[(j^1\phi)^*\, i(j^1Z)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}]=\int_{\partial N}(j^1\phi)^*\, i(j^1Z)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$$

4.1.4 Noether's theorem for jet fields

Next we are going to interpret the idea of *conserved quantity* in terms of jet fields. In analogy with time-dependent lagrangian mechanical systems and taking into account how a jet field $\mathcal{Y}$ acts on the differential forms, it seems reasonable to find invariants which are differential n-forms $\zeta\in\varOmega^n(J^1E)$ such that $i(\mathcal{Y})\mathrm{d}\zeta=0$.

Then, in order to state Noether's theorem in this context, we must first recall the concepts of natural symmetry and infinitesimal natural symmetry given in definitions 18 and 19 and their properties. At this point, the following result is required:

Lemma 1 *Let* $\mathcal{Y}\colon J^1E\to J^1J^1E$ *be an integrable jet field such that it is a SOPDE. If* $\zeta\in\varOmega^{n+1}(J^1E)$ *belongs to the ideal generated by the contact module, (which is denoted by* $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{M}_c)$*), then*

$$i(\mathcal{Y})\zeta=0$$

(*Proof*) Consider $\bar{y}\in J^1E$ and let $\phi\colon M\to E$ be a section such that $j^1\phi$ is an integral section of $\mathcal{Y}$ passing through $\bar{y}$. In this case we have the map

$$i(\mathcal{Y})\zeta\colon\mathfrak{X}(M)\times\overset{n+1}{\cdots}\mathfrak{X}(M)\longrightarrow\mathrm{C}^\infty(J^1E)$$

such that

$$(i(\mathcal{Y})\zeta)(\bar{y};Z_1,\ldots,Z_{n+1})=\zeta(\bar{y};(j^1\phi)_*Z_1,\ldots,(j^1\phi)_*Z_{n+1})=((j^1\phi)^*\zeta)(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y});Z_1,\ldots,Z_{n+1})=0$$

because ζ belongs to the ideal generated by the contact module and then $(j^1\phi)^*\zeta=0$. ■

Now we are ready to prove that:

Theorem 4 (Noether)*: Let* $((E,M;\pi),\mathcal{L})$ *be a lagrangian system and* $\mathcal{Y}\colon J^1E\to J^1J^1E$ *a jet field verifying the Euler-Lagrange equations for the lagrangian density* $\mathcal{L}$*. Let* $X\in\mathfrak{X}(J^1E)$ *be a vector field satisfying the following conditions:*

1. *X preserves the contact module:* $\mathrm{L}(X)\mathcal{M}_c\subset\mathcal{M}_c$*.*
2. *There exist* $\xi\in\varOmega^n(J^1E)$*, and* $\alpha\in\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{M}_c)\subset\varOmega^{n+1}(J^1E)$*, such that* $\mathrm{L}(X)\mathcal{L}=\mathrm{d}\xi+\alpha$*.*

Then the following relation holds

$$i(\mathcal{Y})\mathrm{d}(\xi-i(X)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}})=0$$

(*Proof*) On the one hand we have

$$\mathrm{L}(X)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}} = i(X)\mathrm{d}\Theta_{\mathcal{L}} + \mathrm{d}\, i(X)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$$

however

$$\mathrm{L}(X)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}} = \mathrm{L}(X)(\vartheta_{\mathcal{L}} + \mathcal{L}) := \beta + \mathrm{d}\xi + \alpha$$

where $\beta \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{M}_c)$ because $\vartheta_{\mathcal{L}} \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{M}_c)$. Therefrom

$$\mathrm{d}(\xi - i(X)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}) = i(X)\mathrm{d}\Theta_{\mathcal{L}} + \zeta$$

with $\zeta \in \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{M}_c)$. Hence

$$i(\mathcal{Y})\mathrm{d}(\xi - i(X)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}) = 0$$

since $i(\mathcal{Y})\, i(X)\mathrm{d}\Theta_{\mathcal{L}} = 0$, for every $X \in \mathcal{X}(J^1E)$, because $\mathcal{Y}$ is a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equations, and $i(\mathcal{Y})\zeta = 0$ as a consequence of the last lemma. ■

5 Examples

5.1 Electromagnetic field (with fixed background)

In this case M is space-time endowed with a semi-riemannian metric g, $E = \mathrm{T}^*M$ is a vector bundle over M and $\pi_M\colon \mathrm{T}^*M \to M$ denotes the natural projection. Sections of π_M are the so-called *electromagnetic potentials*. Using the linear connection associated with the metric g, one can be sure that $J^1E \to \mathrm{T}^*M$ is a vector bundle. It then coincides with its associated vector bundle, and, since $\mathrm{V}E = \pi_M^*\mathrm{T}^*M$, we have $J^1E = \pi_M^*\mathrm{T}^*M \otimes_E \pi_M^*\mathrm{T}^*M$.

Coordinates in J^1E are usually denoted $(x^\mu, A_\nu, v_{\mu\nu})$ [4]. The coordinates A_1, A_2, A_3 constitute the *vector potential* and A_4 is the *scalar potential*, if $M = \mathbf{R}^4$ and the metric is $+ + + -$.

Let $\phi\colon M \to \mathrm{T}^*M$ be a section of π. Then $j^1\phi\colon M \to \pi^*\mathrm{T}^*M \times \pi^*\mathrm{T}^*M$ is just $j^1\phi = \mathrm{T}\phi$. Locally $\phi = \phi_\nu \mathrm{d}x^\nu$, therefore $j^1\phi = \dfrac{\partial\phi_\nu}{\partial x^\mu}\mathrm{d}x^\mu \otimes \mathrm{d}x^\nu$. Then, one may observe that $j^1\phi$ is a metric tensor on M.

The lagrangian density is the following: consider $\bar{y} \in J^1E$ and let $\phi\colon M \to \mathrm{T}^*M$ be a representative of $\bar{y}$; that is, $\bar{y} = \mathrm{T}_{\bar{\pi}(\bar{y})}\phi$, then

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\|\mathrm{d}\phi\|^2 \mathrm{d}V_g$$

where $\mathrm{d}\phi$ is the exterior differential of ϕ, $\|\ \|$ is the norm induced by the metric g on the 2-forms on M and $\mathrm{d}V_g$ is the volume element associated with the metric g. Observe that $\mathrm{d}\phi$ is the skew-symmetric part of the matrix giving $\mathrm{T}\phi$ or, in other words, the skew-symmetric part of the metric $\mathrm{T}\phi$ on M. It is usual to write ϕ in the form $A = A_\mu \mathrm{d}x^\mu$ and $\mathrm{d}A = A_{\mu,\nu}\mathrm{d}x^\nu \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\mu$, as we stated above.

The symmetries of the problem arise from the isometries of g and from the fact that the symmetric part of $\mathrm{T}\phi$ has not been taken into account in order to construct the lagrangian density.

Finally, if $f \in \mathrm{C}^\infty(M)$, then f acts on T^*M in the following way:

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \tilde{f} & : & \mathrm{T}^*M & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{T}^*M \\ & & (x, \alpha) & \mapsto & (x, \alpha + \mathrm{d}f(x)) \end{array}$$

---

[4] Sometimes, the notation $A_{\nu,\mu}$ is used instead of $v_{\mu\nu}$.

$\tilde{f}$ being a diffeomorphism which induces the identity on M. Moreover, if $\tilde{y} \in J^1E$, the equality $\mathcal{L}(j^1\tilde{f}(\tilde{y})) = \mathcal{L}(\tilde{y})$ holds trivially. This is the so-called *gauge invariance* of the electromagnetic field.

5.2 Bosonic string

Let M be a two-dimensional manifold and B a $d+1$-dimensional manifold endowed with a given metric g, with signature $(+,\dots,+,-)$, that is, a Lorentz metric. A *bosonic string* in B is a map $\phi \colon M \longrightarrow B$.

Furthermore, in order to construct the lagrangian function we need a metrics on M, we take the bundle

$$E = M \times B \times \mathrm{S}_2^{1,1}(M)$$

where $\mathrm{S}_2^{1,1}(M)$ is the bundle over M whose sections are the 2-covariant tensors with signature $(+,-)$. In this case we have:

$$J^1E = J^1(M \times B) \times J^1(\mathrm{S}_2^{1,1}(M))$$

and we have obtained the so-called *Polyakov approach* where the metrics on M is dynamics.

In this approach, a field ψ is a couple (ϕ, h), where $\phi \colon M \to B$ is a differentiable map (the *string*) and h is a Lorentz metric in M. If $\bar{y} \in J^1E$ and $\psi = (\phi, h)$ is a representative of $\bar{y}$; that is, $(j^1\psi)(\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})) = \bar{y}$, the lagrangian density at the point $\bar{y}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}(\bar{y}) = -\frac{1}{2}\, i(h^*)\phi^* g \mathrm{d}V_h$$

where h^* is the dual metric of h, $i(h^*)\phi^*g$ denotes the total contraction of the 2-covariant tensor ϕ^*g with the 2-contravariant tensor h^* and $\mathrm{d}V_h$ is the volume element associated with the metric h.

Denoting by x^μ the coordinates on M, by y^A the ones in B and by $h_{\sigma\rho}$ the coordinates in the fiber of $\mathrm{S}_2^{1,1}(M)$, then the coordinates in J^1E are $(x^\mu, y^A, h_{\sigma\rho}, v_\mu^A, w_{\sigma\rho\mu})$, $\mu = 1, 2,\ A = 1, \dots, d, 0$. In such a local system, if $\phi = \phi^A$, $g = g_{AB}\mathrm{d}y^A \otimes \mathrm{d}y^B$ $h = h_{\sigma\rho}\mathrm{d}x^\sigma \otimes \mathrm{d}x^\rho$ and $h^* = h^{\sigma\rho}\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\sigma} \otimes \dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\rho}$, the linear expression of $\mathcal{L}$ is

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} h^{\sigma\rho} g_{AB} v_\sigma^A v_\rho^B \sqrt{|\det h|}\, \mathrm{d}^2x$$

In the *Nambu approach* we use the metric induced on M by the string itself; that is, $h = \phi^*g$, where g is the metric over B. In this case, $E = M \times B$ and the rest are as in the Polyakov approach with the appropriate changes in the coordinates.

In this last case, a field is a map $\phi \colon M \to B$. Then, if $\bar{y} \in J^1E$ and ϕ is a representative of it, the lagrangian density is

$$\mathcal{L}(\bar{y}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{d}V_{\phi^* g}$$

A local system of canonical coordinates is now (x^μ, y^A, v_μ^A) and if we write $\phi = \phi^A$ and $g = g_{AB}\mathrm{d}y^A \otimes \mathrm{d}y^B$, we have

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left| \det \left(g_{AB} \frac{\partial \phi^A}{\partial x^1} \frac{\partial \phi^B}{\partial x^2} \right) \right|} \mathrm{d}x^1 \mathrm{d}x^2$$

thus it is easy to see that this expression is the infinitesimal "area" of the parallelogram generated by $\mathrm{T}\phi\left(\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{T}\phi\left(\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^2}\right)$ in B.

5.3 WZWN model

Consider $M = S^2$ endowed with a riemannian metric h. Let G be a Lie group, then take $E = M \times G$ as the manifold of configuration. If $\mathbf{g}$ is the Lie algebra of G, let $\Omega \in \mathit{\Omega}^1(G) \otimes \mathbf{g}$ be the Maurer-Cartan form of G and denote K the Killing metrics on $\mathbf{g}$. A section of E is given by a map $g\colon M \to G$ and defines the function $(h^* \otimes K)(g^*\Omega, g^*\Omega) \in \mathrm{C}^\infty(M)$, h^* being the dual metrics of h. This function is used in order to define another one in the 1-jet bundle $J^1E = J^1(M \times G)$ in a natural way as follows: if $\bar{y} \in J^1E$, with $\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y}) = x$, and $g\colon M \to G$ is a representative of it, we have that the map

$$x \longmapsto (h^* \otimes K)(g_x^*\Omega, g_x^*\Omega)$$

does not depend on the chosen representative and hence we can define a function

$$\bar{y} \longmapsto (h^* \otimes K)(g_{\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})}^*\Omega, g_{\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})}^*\Omega)$$

The first approach to the WZWN theory takes $\mathbf{SU}(2)$ as the Lie group, that is

$$\mathbf{SU}(2) = \mathbf{SL}(2,\mathbf{C}) \cap \mathbf{U}(2) = \{A \in \mathbf{GL}(2,\mathbf{C}) \mid AA^\dagger = I,\ \det A = 1\}$$

(Observe that $\mathbf{SU}(2)$ is diffeomorphic to S^3). In this case the fields are maps $g\colon S^2 \to \mathbf{SU}(2)$.

The second approach consists in considering that the metrics h on S^2 is dynamical too. In that case the configuration bundle is $E' = (S^2 \times G) \times_{S^2} \mathcal{S}_2(S^2)$, where $\mathcal{S}_2(S^2)$ is the bundle over S^2 whose sections are the riemannian metrics on S^2. A section of E' is given by a pair (g, h), where $g\colon S^2 \to G$ is a map and h is a riemannian metrics on S^2. The 1-jet bundle is $J^1E' = J^1(S^2 \times G) \times J^1(\mathcal{S}_2(S^2))$.

Like in the first case we have a function defined in a natural way in J^1E'. In fact, let $\bar{y} \in J^1E'$ and let (g, h) be a representative of $\bar{y}$. The map

$$\bar{y} \longmapsto (h_{\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})}^* \otimes K)(g_{\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})}^*\Omega, g_{\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})}^*\Omega)$$

is independent of the representative. We use this function in order to construct the lagrangian density of this model which is

$$\mathcal{L}(\bar{y}) := \bar{y} \longmapsto (h_{\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})}^* \otimes K)(g_{\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})}^*\Omega, g_{\bar{\pi}^1(\bar{y})}^*\Omega)\mathrm{d}V_h$$

(This corresponds only to the so-called *non topological* or *dynamical term* of the lagrangian of the WZWN model).

The systems of natural coordinates are $(x^\mu, g^A, h_{\rho\sigma}, v_\mu^A, h_{\rho\sigma\mu})$, where x^μ are coordinates in S^2 $(\mu = 1, 2)$, g^A are coordinates in G and $h_{\rho\sigma}$ makes up a local basis of sections of $\mathcal{S}_2(S^2)$.

A comment about $\mathbf{SU}(2)$:

- $\mathbf{SU}(2)$ is the universwal covering of $\mathbf{SO}(3)$, the group of rotations in the euclidean space of dimension 3. Thus, $\mathbf{SU}(2)$ is simply connected. An element of this group is a matrix A as the following

  $$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \|\alpha\|^2 + \|\beta\|^2 = 1 \qquad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}$$

  Therefrom, it is obvious that $\mathbf{SU}(2)$ is diffeomorphic to $S^3 \subset \mathbf{R}^4$.

  The Lie algebra $\mathbf{su}(2)$ of $\mathbf{SU}(2)$ is generated by

  $$E_1 = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad ; \quad E_2 = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad ; \quad E_3 = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$$

  Observe that $E_j = -\frac{1}{2}i\sigma_j$, σ_j being the Pauli matrices. If these matrices σ_j are used as a basis of $\mathbf{SU}(2)$ then the coefficients are imaginary numbers.

6 Conclusions and outlook

In this work we have formulated a purely geometric theory of the lagrangian formalism of first-order classical field theories. The main goals we have achieved are the following:

1. We give an intrinsic definition of all the geometric elements associated with 1-jet bundles: the *vertical diferential*, the *canonical form*, the *contact module* and the *vertical endomorphisms*. Some of them, namely the structure canonical form, have been defined previously [14]; but in other cases, such as the vertical endomorphisms, the construction presented here is original. In addition, we have given a detailed description of the *canonical prolongations* of sections, difeomorphisms and vector fields, as well as the properties of invariance of all the above defined geometric elements by these prolongations.
2. Using some of the previously introduced geometric elements, we have constructed the *lagrangian forms* in an intrinsic way, with no use of connections [14]. Neither is the Legendre transformation used to obtain lagrangian forms from the dual (hamiltonian) formalism of the theory, as is the case in other works [17], [18].
3. Nevertheless, this is not the case when we define the *density of lagrangian energy* and the *lagrangian energy function* in an intrinsic way since, as we have specified, such a construction necessarily requires the use of a connection. We also need this connection when we wish to dualize the theory; that is, in order to obtain the *hamiltonian formalism*, as will be seen in a forthcoming work [12].
4. After analyzing the *variational problem* obtaining the *critical sections*, another outstanding point is that we achieve a unified presentation of the field equations in field theories and the dynamical equations for non-autonomous mechanical systems, by means of the 1-*jet field formulation*. The problem of the integrability of the evolution equations and the fact that these equations are second-order partial differential equations is clearly interpreted in a geometrical way using this formalism.
5. Furthermore, we have carried out an introduction to the study of *lagrangian symmetries*, classifying them in several ways and stating and proving a geometrical version of *Noether's theorem* based on the 1-jet field formalism.
6. As a final remark, we have briefly dealt with some classical examples, making the geometrical structure of each one of them evident.

Among the problems we will study in forthcoming papers, in which we hope to provide an original treatment, we draw attention to the following:

- How to construct the hamiltonian formalism of first-order classical field theories.
- An investigation of *non-regular* classical field theories.
- To make a detailed analysis of symmetries in field theories.

A Connections and jet fields in a first-order jet bundle

In this appendix, we summarize some elements of the theory of jet fields and connections in fiber bundles (see [31] for details).

A.1 Basic definition and properties

In order to set the main definition of this appendix, first we prove the following statement:

Proposition 21 *Let $\pi\colon E \to M$ be a fiber bundle and $\pi^1\colon J^1E \to E$ the corresponding first-order jet bundle. The following elements can be canonically constructed one from the other:*

1. *A π-semibasic 1-form ∇ on E with values in $\mathrm{T}E$ (that is, an element of $\Gamma(E, \pi^*\mathrm{T}^*M) \otimes \Gamma(E, \mathrm{T}E)$), such that $\nabla^*\alpha = \alpha$, for every π^1-semibasic form $\alpha \in \mathit{\Omega}^1(E)$.*
2. *A subbundle $\mathrm{H}(E)$ of $\mathrm{T}E$ such that*
$$\mathrm{T}E = \mathrm{V}(\pi) \oplus \mathrm{H}(E) \tag{6}$$
3. *A (global) section of $\pi^1\colon J^1E \to E$; that is, a mapping $\Psi\colon E \to J^1E$ such that $\pi^1 \circ \Psi = \mathrm{Id}_E$.*

(*Proof*) (1 ⇒ 2) First of all, observe that $\nabla\colon \mathfrak{X}(E) \to \mathfrak{X}(E)$ is a $\mathrm{C}^\infty(E)$-map which vanishes when it acts on the vertical vector fields. Its transposed map is $\nabla^*\colon \mathit{\Omega}^1(E) \to \mathit{\Omega}^1(E)$, which is defined as usually by $\nabla^*\beta := \beta \circ \nabla$, for every $\beta \in \mathit{\Omega}^1(E)$. Moreover, since ∇ is π-semibasic, so is $\nabla^*\beta$, then $\nabla^*(\nabla^*\beta) = \nabla^*\beta$ and hence $\nabla \circ \nabla = \nabla$. Therefore, ∇ and ∇^* are projection operators in $\mathfrak{X}(E)$ and $\mathit{\Omega}^1(E)$ respectively. So we have the splittings

$$\mathfrak{X}(E) = \mathrm{Im}\nabla \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\nabla \quad ; \quad \mathit{\Omega}^1(E) = \mathrm{Im}\nabla^* \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\nabla^*$$

with the natural identifications

$$(\mathrm{Im}\nabla)' = \mathrm{Ker}\nabla^* \quad ; \quad (\mathrm{Ker}\nabla)' = \mathrm{Im}\nabla^* \tag{7}$$

(where, if S is a submodule of $\mathfrak{X}(E)$, the *incident* or *annihilator* of S is defined as $S' := \{\alpha \in \mathit{\Omega}^1(E) \mid \alpha(X) = 0 \ , \ \forall X \in S\}$). Taking this into account, for every $y \in E$, $\nabla_y\colon \mathrm{T}_yE \to \mathrm{T}_yE$ induces the splittings

$$\mathrm{T}_yE = \mathrm{Im}\nabla_y \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\nabla_y \quad ; \quad \mathrm{T}^*_yE = \mathrm{Im}\nabla^*_y \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\nabla^*_y \tag{8}$$

Next we must prove that $\mathrm{V}_y(\pi) = \mathrm{Ker}\nabla_y$. But $\mathrm{V}_y(\pi) \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\nabla_y$ and $\mathrm{Im}\nabla^*_y$ is the set of π-semibasic forms at $y \in E$, then we have $\mathrm{V}_y(\pi) = \mathrm{Ker}\nabla_y$ and, hence,

$$\mathrm{Ker}\nabla = \Gamma(E, \mathrm{V}(\pi)) \equiv \mathfrak{X}^{\mathrm{V}(\pi)}(E) \quad ; \quad \mathrm{Im}\nabla^* = \Gamma(E, \pi^*\mathrm{T}^*M)$$

So we define

$$\mathrm{H}(E) := \bigcup_{y \in E} \{\nabla_y(u) \mid u \in \mathrm{T}_yE\}$$

As a consequence of this, the first of the splittings (8) leads to

$$\mathrm{T}E = \mathrm{H}(E) \oplus \mathrm{V}(\pi) \tag{9}$$

and it allows us to introduce the projections

$$h\colon \mathrm{T}E \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}(E) \quad ; \quad v\colon \mathrm{T}E \longrightarrow \mathrm{V}(\pi)$$

whose transposed maps

$$h^*\colon \mathrm{H}^*(\nabla) \longrightarrow \mathrm{T}^*E \quad ; \quad v^*\colon \mathrm{V}^*(\pi) \longrightarrow \mathrm{T}^*E$$

are injections which lead to the spliting

$$\mathrm{T}^*E = \mathrm{H}^*(E) \oplus \mathrm{V}^*(\pi) \tag{10}$$

and, taking into account the second equality of (8) and (7), in a natural way we have the identifications $\mathrm{H}(E)'$ with $\mathrm{V}^*(\pi)$ and $\mathrm{V}(\pi)'$ with $\mathrm{H}^*(E)$.

$(2 \Rightarrow 1)$ Given the subbundle $\mathrm{H}(E)$ and the splitting $\mathrm{T}E = \mathrm{V}(\pi) \oplus \mathrm{H}(E)$, let $h\colon \mathrm{T}E \to \mathrm{T}E$ and $v\colon \mathrm{T}E \to \mathrm{T}E$ be the projections over $\mathrm{H}(E)$ and $\mathrm{V}(\pi)$ respectively, which induce the splitting $X = h(X) + v(X)$, for every $X \in \mathcal{X}(E)$. Then we can define the map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \nabla & : & \mathcal{X}(E) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X}(E) \\ & & X & \mapsto & h(X) \end{array}$$

which is a $\mathrm{C}^\infty(E)$-morphism and satisfies trivially the following properties:

1. ∇ vanishes on the vertical vector fields and therefore $\nabla \in \Gamma(E, \pi^*\mathrm{T}^*M) \otimes \mathcal{X}(E)$.
2. $\nabla \circ \nabla = \nabla$, since ∇ is a projection.
3. if $\alpha \in \Gamma(E, \pi^*\mathrm{T}^*M)$ and $X \in \mathcal{X}(E)$ we have

   $$(\nabla^*\alpha)X = \alpha(\nabla(X)) = \alpha(h(X)) = \alpha(h(X) + v(X)) = \alpha(X)$$

   because α is semibasic. Therefore $\nabla^*\alpha = \alpha$.

$(2 \Rightarrow 3)$ Suppose that $\mathrm{T}E$ splits into $\mathrm{T}E = \mathrm{H}(E) \oplus \mathrm{V}(\pi)$. Then there is a natural way of constructing a section of $\pi^1\colon J^1E \to E$. In fact, consider $y \in E$ with $\pi(y) = x$, we have $\mathrm{T}_yE = \mathrm{H}_y(E) \oplus \mathrm{V}_y(\pi)$ and $\mathrm{T}_y\pi|_{\mathrm{H}_y(E)}$ is an isomorphism between $\mathrm{H}_y(E)$ and T_xM. Let $\phi_y\colon U \to E$ be a local section defined in a neigbourhood of x, such that

$$\phi_y(x) = y \quad , \quad \mathrm{T}_x\phi_y = (\mathrm{T}_y\pi|_{\mathrm{H}_y(E)})^{-1}$$

then we have a section

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \Psi & : & E & \longrightarrow & J^1E \\ & & y & \mapsto & (j^1\phi_y)(\pi(y)) \end{array}$$

which is differentiable because the splitting $\mathrm{T}_yE = \mathrm{H}_y(E) \oplus \mathrm{V}_y(\pi)$ depends differentiabily on y.

$(3 \Rightarrow 2)$ Let $\Psi\colon E \to J^1E$ be a section and $\bar{y} \in J^1E$ with $\bar{y} \xrightarrow{\pi^1} y \xrightarrow{\pi} x$. Observe that $\Psi(y) \in J^1E$ is an equivalence class of sections $\phi\colon M \to E$ with $\phi(x) = y$, but the subspace $\mathrm{Im}\mathrm{T}_x\phi$ does not depend on the representative ϕ, provided it is in this class. Then, for every $\bar{y} \in J^1E$ and ϕ a representative of $\bar{y} = \Psi(y)$, we define

$$\mathrm{H}_y(E) := \mathrm{Im}\mathrm{T}_x\phi \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{H}(E) := \bigcup_{y \in E} \mathrm{H}_y(E)$$

■

Definition 21 *A* connection *in the bundle* $\pi\colon E \to M$ *is one of the above mentioned equivalent elements.*

Then a (global) section $\Psi\colon E \to J^1E$ *is said to be a* 1-jet field *in the bundle* $\pi^1\colon J^1E \to E$*. The* π*-semibasic form* ∇ *is called the* connection form *or* Ehresmann connection*. The subbundle* $\mathrm{H}(E)$ *is called the* horizontal subbundle *of* $\mathrm{T}E$ *associated with the connection. The set of sections of* $\mathrm{H}(E)$ *will be denoted by* $\mathcal{D}(\Psi)$ *and its elements are the* horizontal vector fields*.*

Let (x^μ, y^A) be a local system of coordinates in an open set $U \subset E$. The most general local expression of a semibasic 1-form on E with values in $\mathrm{T}E$ would be

$$\nabla = f_\mu \mathrm{d}x^\mu \otimes \left(g^\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} + h^A \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A} \right)$$

As ∇^* is the identity on semibasic forms, it follows that $\nabla^* \mathrm{d}x^\mu = \mathrm{d}x^\mu$, so the local expression of the connection form ∇ is

$$\nabla = \mathrm{d}x^\mu \otimes \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + \Gamma_\mu^A \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A} \right)$$

where $\Gamma_\mu^A \in \mathrm{C}^\infty(U)$. In this system the 1-jet field Ψ is expressed as

$$\Psi = (x^\mu, y^A, \Gamma_\rho^A(x^\mu, y^A))$$

Let ϕ be a representative of $\Psi(y)$ with $\phi = (x^\mu, f^A(x^\mu))$. Therefrom $\phi(x) = y$, $\mathrm{T}_x\phi = \Psi(y)$ and we have

$$y = \phi(x) = (x^\mu, f^A(x^\mu)) = (x^\mu, y^A)$$

The matrix of $\mathrm{T}_x\phi$ is $\left(\begin{matrix} \mathrm{Id} \\ \left(\frac{\partial f^A}{\partial x^\mu}\right)_x \end{matrix} \right)$, therefore $\left.\dfrac{\partial f^A}{\partial x^\nu}\right|_x = \Gamma_\nu^A(x^\mu, y^A)$. Now, taking $\left.\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\right|_x$ as a basis of T_xM, we obtain

$$\mathrm{Im}\mathrm{T}_x\phi = \left\{ (\mathrm{T}_x\phi)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\right) \right\} = \left\{ \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\right|_y + \Gamma_\mu^A(y) \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial y^A}\right|_y \right\}$$

hence, $\mathrm{H}(E)$ is locally spaned by

$$\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + \Gamma_\mu^A(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A} \right\}$$

As final remarks, notice that the splitting (9) induces a further one

$$\mathcal{X}(E) = \mathrm{Im}\nabla \oplus \Gamma(E, \mathrm{V}(\pi))$$

so every vector field $X \in \mathcal{X}(E)$ splits into its *horizontal* and *vertical* components:

$$X = X^{\mathrm{H}} + X^{\mathrm{V}} = \nabla(X) + (X - \nabla(X))$$

Locally, this splitting is given by

$$X = f^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + g^A \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A} = f^\mu \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + \Gamma_\mu^A \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A} \right) + \left(g^A - f^\mu \Gamma_\mu^A \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A}$$

since $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + \Gamma_\mu^A \dfrac{\partial}{\partial y^A}$ and $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial y^A}$ generate locally $\Gamma(E, \mathrm{H}(E))$ and $\Gamma(E, \mathrm{V}(\pi))$, respectivelly. Observe that, if X is an horizontal vector field, then $\nabla(X) = X$.

In an analogous way the splitting (10) induces the following one

$$\Omega^1(E) = \Gamma(E, \pi^*\mathrm{T}^*M) \oplus \mathrm{Ker}\nabla^* = \Gamma(E, \pi^*\mathrm{T}^*M) \oplus (\mathrm{Im}\nabla)'$$

then, for every $\alpha \in \Omega^1(E)$, we have

$$\alpha = \alpha^{\mathrm{H}} + \alpha^{\mathrm{B}} = \nabla^*\alpha + (\alpha - \nabla^*\alpha)$$

whose local expression is

$$\alpha = F_\mu \mathrm{d}x^\mu + G_A \mathrm{d}y^A = (F_\mu + G_A \Gamma_\mu^A)\mathrm{d}x^\mu + G_A(\mathrm{d}y^A - \Gamma_\mu^A \mathrm{d}x^\mu)$$

since $\mathrm{d}x^\mu$ and $\mathrm{d}y^A - \Gamma_\mu^A \mathrm{d}x^\mu$ generate locally $\Gamma(E, \mathrm{H}^*(E))$ and $\Gamma(E, \mathrm{V}^*(\pi))$, respectivelly.

A.2 Other relevant features

As an interesting remark, we analyze the structure of the set of connections in $\pi\colon E \to M$. Then, let ∇_1, ∇_2 be two connection forms. The condition $\nabla_1^*\alpha = \nabla_2^*\alpha = 0$, for every semibasic 1-form α, means that $(\nabla_1 - \nabla_2)^*\alpha = 0$; that is $\nabla_1 - \nabla_2 \in \Gamma(E, \pi^*\mathrm{T}^*M) \otimes_E \Gamma(E, \mathrm{V}(\pi))$.

However, let ∇ be a connection on $\pi\colon E \to M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(E, \pi^*\mathrm{T}^*M) \otimes_E \Gamma(E, \mathrm{V}(\pi))$, then $\nabla + \gamma$ is another connection form. So we have:

Proposition 22 *The set of connection forms on $\pi\colon E \to M$ is an affine "space" over the module of semibasic differential 1-forms on E with values in $\mathrm{V}(\pi)$.*

In a local canonical system, if $\nabla = \mathrm{d}x^\mu \otimes \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + \mathit{\Gamma}_\mu^A \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A}\right)$ and $\gamma = \gamma_\mu^A \mathrm{d}x^\mu \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^A}$ then

$$\nabla + \gamma = \mathrm{d}x^\mu \otimes \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + (\mathit{\Gamma}_\mu^A + \gamma_\mu^A)\frac{\partial}{\partial y^A}\right)$$

Finally, another important concept related with connections is:

Definition 22 *The* curvature *of a connection ∇ is defined as:*

$$\mathcal{R}(Z_1, Z_2) := (\mathrm{Id} - \nabla)([\nabla(Z_1), \nabla(Z_2)]) = i([\nabla(Z_1), \nabla(Z_2)])(\mathrm{Id} - \nabla)$$

for every $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathcal{X}(M)$.

Using the coordinate expressions of the connection form ∇ and the 1-jet field Ψ, a simple calculation leads to

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \mathit{\Gamma}_\eta^B}{\partial x^\mu} - \frac{\partial \mathit{\Gamma}_\mu^B}{\partial x^\eta} + \mathit{\Gamma}_\mu^A \frac{\partial \mathit{\Gamma}_\eta^B}{\partial y^A} - \mathit{\Gamma}_\eta^A \frac{\partial \mathit{\Gamma}_\mu^B}{\partial y^A}\right)(\mathrm{d}x^\mu \wedge \mathrm{d}x^\eta) \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial y^B}$$

A.3 Integrability of jet fields

Definition 23 *Let $\Psi\colon E \to J^1E$ be a 1-jet field.*

1. *A section $\phi\colon M \to E$ is said to be an* integral section *of Ψ iff $\Psi \circ \phi = j^1\phi$.*
2. *Ψ is said to be an* integrable jet field *iff it admits integral sections.*

One may readily check that, if (x^μ, y^A, v_μ^A) is a natural local system in J^1E and, in this system, $\Psi = (x^\mu, y^A, \mathit{\Gamma}_\rho^A(x^\mu, y^A))$ and $\phi = (x^\mu, f^A(x^\nu))$, then ϕ is an integral section of Ψ if, and only if, ϕ is a solution of the following system of partial differential equations

$$\frac{\partial f^A}{\partial x^\mu} = \mathit{\Gamma}_\mu^A \circ \phi \qquad (11)$$

The integrable jet fields can be characterized as follows:

Proposition 23 *The following assertions on a 1-jet field Ψ are equivalent:*

1. *The 1-jet field Ψ is integrable.*
2. *The curvature of the connection form ∇ associated with Ψ is nule.*
3. *$\mathcal{D}(\Psi)$ is an involutive distribution.*

(*Proof*) $(1 \Leftrightarrow 2)$ Notice that if ϕ is an integral section of Ψ, then the distribution $\mathcal{D}(\Psi)$ is tangent to the image of Ψ and conversely.

$(2 \Leftrightarrow 3)$ From the definition (22) we obtain that, if $\mathcal{R} = 0$, then

$$\nabla([\nabla(Z_1), \nabla(Z_2)]) = [\nabla(Z_1), \nabla(Z_2)]$$

hence, the horizontal distribution $\mathcal{D}(\Psi)$ is involutive.

Conversely, if $\mathcal{D}(\Psi)$ is involutive, as ∇ is the identity on $\mathcal{D}(\Psi)$, the last equation follows, so $\mathcal{R} = 0$. ■

Remark:

- According to this proposition, from the local expression of $\mathcal{R}$ we obtain the local integrability conditions of the equations (11).

B Glossary of notation

$\mathrm{C}^\infty(A)$	Smooth functions in the manifold A.
$\mathcal{X}(A)$	Vector fields in the manifold A.
$\varOmega^p(A)$	Differential p-forms in the manifold A.
A'	Incident set to A.
$\mathrm{Hom}(A,B)$	Homomorphisms from A to B.
$i(X)\alpha$	Contraction of the elements X and α.
$\mathrm{L}(X)\alpha$	Lie derivative of the element α along the vector field X.
$D_v\alpha$	Directional derivative of α along the direction of v.
$\pi\colon E \to M$	Differentiable fiber bundle over a (orientable) manifold M.
$\Gamma(A,B)$, $\Gamma(\mathrm{pr})$	Sections of the bundle $pr\colon B \to A$.
$\Gamma_U(A,B)$	Local sections (in an open set $U \subset A$).
$\Gamma_c(A,B)$	Compact supported sections .
$\varOmega^p(A,B) = \varOmega^p(A) \otimes_A \Gamma(B)$	Differential p-forms in the manifold A with values in the bundle B.
$\pi^1\colon J^1E \to E$	1-jet bundle.
$\bar{\pi}^1\colon J^1E \to M$	Fiber bundle induced by $\bar{\pi}^1 = \pi \circ \pi^1$.
J^1_yE	Fiber of J^1E at $y \in E$.
$\bar{y} \in J^1E$	1-jet (element of J^1E).
(x^μ, y^A, v^A_μ)	Local coordinate system in J^1E.
$\mathrm{V}(pr)$	Vertical subbundle with respect to the projection $pr\colon A \to M$.
$\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{V}(pr)}(B)$	Vertical vector fields in B with respect to the projection $pr\colon B \to A$.
$\phi\colon M \to E$	Section of π.
$\psi\colon M \to J^1E$	Section of $\bar{\pi}^1$.
$\mathrm{d}^v_y\phi$	Vertical differential of ϕ at $y \in E$.
θ	Structure canonical form of J^1E.
$\mathcal{M}_c$	Contact module or Cartan distribution.
θ^A	Element of a local basis of $\mathcal{M}_c$.
$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{M}_c)$	Ideal generated by the contact module.
$\mathcal{V}$, $\mathcal{S}$	Vertical endomorphisms in J^1E.
$j^1\phi$, (j^ψ)	Canonical prolongation of ϕ (ψ) to J^1E (J^1J^1E).
$j^1\Phi$	Canonical prolongation of a diffeomorphism $\Phi\colon E \to E$ to J^1E.
j^1Z	Canonical prolongation of a vector field $Z \in \mathcal{X}(E)$ to J^1E.
∇	Connection form (Ehresmann connection).
$\mathrm{H}(E)$	Horizontal subbundle (induced by a connection).
$\Psi\colon E \to J^E$	(Global) section of π^1. (1-jet field in J^1E).
$\mathcal{D}(\Psi)$	Set of sections of $\mathrm{H}(E)$ (horizontal vector fields).
$\mathcal{R}$	Curvature of a connection.
$\mathcal{L}$	Lagrangian density.
$\pounds$	Lagrangian function.
$\mathbf{L}$	Lagrangian functional.
$\vartheta_{\mathcal{L}}$	Lagrangian canonical form.
$\Theta_{\mathcal{L}}$	Poincaré-Cartan $(n+1)$-form.
$\Omega_{\mathcal{L}}$	Poincaré-Cartan $(n+2)$-form.
$((E,M;\pi),\mathcal{L})$	Lagrangian system
$\mathcal{E}^{\nabla}_{\mathcal{L}}$	Density of lagrangian energy associated with $\mathcal{L}$ and ∇.
$\mathrm{E}^{\nabla}_{\mathcal{L}}$	Energy associated with $\mathcal{L}$ and ∇.
$\pi^1_1\colon J^1J^1E \to J^1E$	Repeated 1-jet bundle.
$\mathbf{y} \in J^1J^1E$	Element of J^1J^1E.
$\mathcal{Y}\colon J^1E \to J^1J^1E$	(Global) section of π^1_1 (1-jet field in J^1J^1E).
$\delta_Z\pounds$	Total variation of $\pounds$ (under the action of $Z \in \mathcal{X}(E)$).
$(j^1\phi)^*\mathrm{J}Z := (j^1\phi)^*(i(j^1Z)\Theta_{\mathcal{L}})$	Noether's current associated with Z (for a critical section ϕ).

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. X. Gràcia-Sabaté (U.P.C.) for discussions concerning some subjects on Differential Geometry and Dr. L.A. Ibort (U.C.M.) for his comments and suggestions. We also thank Mr. Jeff Palmer for his assistance in preparing the English version of the manuscript.

References

[1] R. Abraham, J.E. Marsden, *Foundations of Mechanics* (2nd ed.), Addison-Wesley, Reading Ma., 1978.

[2] V. Aldaya, J.A. de Azcárraga, "Geometric formulation of classical mechanics and field theory", *Riv. Nuovo Cimento* **3** (1980) 1-66.

[3] M.F. Atiyah, *Geometry of Yang-Mills Fields*, Academia Nazionale dei Lincei, Scuola Normale Superiore, 1979.

[4] M.J. Bergvelt, E.A. de Kerf, "Yang-Mills theories as constrained hamiltonian systems", *Physica A* **139** (1986) 101-124.

[5] E. Binz, J. Śniatycki, H. Fisher, *The Geometry of Classical fields*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1988.

[6] D. Bleecker, *Gauge theory and variational principles*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1980.

[7] J.F. Cariñena, M. Crampin, L.A. Ibort, "On the multisymplectic formalism for first order field theories", *Diff Geom. Appl.* **1** (1991) 345-374.

[8] M. Daniel, C. Viallet, "The geometrical setting of gauge theories of the Yang-Mills type", *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **52**(1) (1980) 175-197.

[9] W. Drechsler, M.E. Mayer, *Fiber Bundle Techniques in Gauge Theories*, Lectures Notes in Physics **67**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.

[10] A. Echeverría-Enríquez, M.C. Muñoz-Lecanda, "Variational calculus in several variables: a hamiltonian approach", *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **56**(1) (1992) 27-47.

[11] A. Echeverría-Enríquez, M.C. Muñoz-Lecanda, N. Román-Roy, "Geometrical setting of time-dependent regular systems. Alternative models", *Rev. Math. Phys.* **3**(3) (1991) 301-330.

[12] A. Echeverría-Enríquez, M.C. Muñoz-Lecanda, N. Román-Roy, "Geometry of Hamiltonian First-order Classical Field Theories", Preprint DMAT-UPC (1995) (In preparation).

[13] T. Eguchi, P.B. Gilkey, A. Hanson, "Gravitation, gauge theories and Differential Geometry", *Phys. Rep.* **66** (1980) 213-393.

[14] P.L. García, "The Poincaré-Cartan invariant in the calculus of variations", *Symp. Math.* **14** (1974) 219-246.

[15] P.L. García, J. Muñoz, "On the geometrical structure of higher order variational calculus", *Proc. IUTAM-ISIMM Symposyum on Modern Developments in Analytical Mechanics* M. Francaviglia, A. Lichnerowicz eds., *Atti della Academia della Scienze di Torino* **117** (1983) 127-147.

[16] M. Göckeler, T. Schücker, *Differential Geometry, Gauge Theories and Gravity*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1987.

[17] H. GOLDSCHMIDT, S. STERNBERG, "The Hamilton-Cartan formalism in the calculus of variations", *Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble* **23** (1) (1973) 203-267.

[18] M.J. GOTAY, J.ISENBERG, J.E. MARSDEN, R. MONTGOMERY, J. ŚNIATYCKI, P.B. YASSKIN, *Momentum maps and classical relativistic fields*, GIMMSY, 1990.

[19] W. GREUB, S. HALPERING, S. VANSTONE, *Connections, curvature and cohomology*, Acad. Press, New York, 1972.

[20] C GÜNTHER, "The polysymplectic hamiltonian formalism in the field theory and the calculus of variations I: the local case", *J. Diff. Geom.* **25** (1987) 23-53.

[21] R. HERMANN, *Differential Geometry and the Calculus of Variations*, Academic Press, 2^{nd} ed., Math. Sci. Press, Brookline Ma., 1968.

[22] J. KLEIN, "Espaces variationnels et mecanique", *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble* **12** (1962) 1-124.

[23] M. DE LEÓN, P.R. RODRIGUES, *Generalized Classical Mechanics and Field Theory*, North-Holland Math. Studies **112**, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1985.

[24] P. LIBERMANN, C.M. MARLE, *Symplectic geometry and analytical dynamics*, D. Reidel Publisging Company, Dordrecht, 1987.

[25] K.B. MARATHE, G. MARTUCCI, "The geometry of gauge fields", *J. Geom. Phys.* **6** (1), (1989) 1-106.

[26] R. OUZILOU, "Expression symplectique des problèmes variationels", *Symp. Math.* **14**, (1973) 85-98.

[27] G. SARDANASHVILY, "Multimomentum Hamiltonian Formalism in Field Theory, Preprint hep-th/9403172 (1994).

[28] G. SARDANASHVILY, "Five Lectures on Jet Manifold Methods in Field Theory", Preprint hep-th/9411089 (1994).

[29] G. SARDANASHVILY O. ZAKHAROV, "The Multimomentum Hamiltonian Formalism for Field Systems, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **31** (1992) 1477-1504.

[30] D.J. SAUNDERS, "The Cartan form in Lagrangian field theories", *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **20** (1987) 333-349.

[31] D.J. SAUNDERS, *The Geometry of Jet Bundles*, London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser. **142**, Cambridge, Univ. Press, 1989.